
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 333-186090

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

35-2464254
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

c/o Blackstone Real Estate Partners VII L.P.
345 Park Avenue
New York, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

10154
(Zip Code)

(212) 583-5000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

(Note: As a voluntary filer, the registrant has not been subject to the filing requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act for the past 90 days. The registrant has filed all reports required under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There is currently no established public trading market in which the Company's shares of common stock are traded, and all shares of common stock are held by an affiliate of the Company. The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 27, 2017 was 100.

[Table of Contents](#)

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
FORM 10-K
Index

	<u>Page</u>
Part I	
Item 1. Business	3
Item 1A. Risk Factors	10
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	22
Item 2. Properties	23
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	25
Part II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	28
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	40
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	42
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	64
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	64
Item 9B. Other Information	65
Part III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	66
Item 11. Executive Compensation	67
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	68
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	68
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	68
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	70
Item 16. Form 10-K Summary	74
Signatures	75

This Form 10-K includes references to certain trademarks or service marks. The SpringHill Suites® by Marriott, TownePlace Suites® by Marriott, Fairfield Inn® by Marriott, Courtyard® by Marriott, Residence Inn® by Marriott and Marriott Suites® trademarks are the property of Marriott International, Inc. or one of its affiliates. The Homewood Suites by Hilton®, Hilton Garden Inn®, Hampton Inn® and Hampton Inn & Suites® trademarks are the property of Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc. or one or more of its affiliates. For convenience, the applicable trademark or service mark symbol has been omitted for uses other than in this paragraph but will be deemed to be included wherever the above referenced terms are used.

PART I

This Annual Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”). Forward-looking statements are typically identified by use of terms such as “may,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “estimate,” “project,” “target,” “goal,” “plan,” “should,” “will,” “predict,” “potential,” “forecast” and similar expressions that convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Such statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance, or achievements of BRE Select Hotels Corp, together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Company”) to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, risks inherent in the hospitality industry; adverse national and regional economic conditions; industry competition; the Company’s dependence on third-party management companies; segment concentration; limitations in the Company’s operating agreements; the Company’s dependence on other hospitality brands; risks associated with the Company’s indebtedness; financing risks; risks related to litigation, regulatory proceedings or inquiries; changes in or interpretations of laws or regulations that impact the Company; risk related to the Company’s status as a real estate investment trust; and the factors discussed in the section entitled “Risk Factors” in this report. Although the Company believes that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore there can be no assurance that such statements included in this report will prove to be accurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by the Company or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or the objectives and plans of the Company will be achieved. In addition, the Company’s qualification as a real estate investment trust involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Readers should carefully review the Company’s financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the risk factors described in Item 1A in this report. Any forward-looking statement that the Company makes herein speaks only as of the date of this report. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements or cautionary factors as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

Except where context suggests otherwise, the Company defines certain terms in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as follows:

- “the Company,” “BRE Select Hotels,” “we” or “our” refer to BRE Select Hotels Corp, together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries;
- “our hotels” refers to the 60 hotels owned by us as of December 31, 2016;
- “Occupancy” represents the total number of hotel rooms sold in a given period divided by the number of hotel rooms available;
- “Average Daily Rate”, or ADR, represents the average room price at a hotel or group of hotels and is computed by dividing total hotel room revenues by the total number of rooms sold in a given period; and
- “Revenue Per Available Room”, or RevPAR, is the product of occupancy and ADR.

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) focused on the ownership of upscale, extended-stay and select-service hotels. Our hotels operate under the Homewood Suites by Hilton, Hilton Garden Inn, Hampton Inn, Hampton Inn & Suites, Courtyard by Marriott, Fairfield Inn by Marriott, Residence Inn by Marriott, SpringHill Suites by Marriott, TownePlace Suites by Marriott and Marriott brands. Our focus is on the ownership of high-quality real estate that generates attractive returns for our investors, and our strategy is to own primarily premium

[Table of Contents](#)

branded select service hotels concentrated in markets that offer multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio consisted of 60 hotels, containing a total of 7,041 rooms diversified among markets in 17 states.

We are a Delaware corporation that was formed on November 28, 2012 to invest in income-producing real estate in the United States through the acquisition of Apple REIT Six, Inc. (“Apple Six”) on behalf of BRE Select Hotels Holdings LP (“BRE Holdings”), a Delaware limited partnership and an affiliate of the Company. All of the common stock of the Company is owned by BRE Holdings, which is an affiliate of Blackstone Real Estate Partners VII L.P. (the “Sponsor”). The acquisition of Apple Six was completed on May 14, 2013.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute at least 90% of our adjusted taxable income to our stockholders, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. Because federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing hotels, we do not operate or manage our hotels. Instead, we lease all of our hotels to our taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRS”) lessees, and our TRS lessees retain unaffiliated third-party managers to operate our hotels pursuant to management agreements. As of December 31, 2016, the management companies engaged, and our hotels and rooms they managed, were as follows:

<u>Management Company</u>	<u>Number of Hotels</u>	<u>Number of Rooms</u>
Inn Ventures, Inc.	10	1,493
Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc.	10	1,134
Larry Blumberg & Associates	14	1,106
White Lodging Services Corporation	8	1,002
Texas Western Hospitality	8	965
Marriott International, Inc.	3	542
OTO Development, LLC	5	496
Sage Hospitality	2	303
Total	60	7,041

We currently intend to adhere to the requirements to qualify for REIT status. There can be no assurance that we will qualify as a REIT for any particular year, and if we fail to qualify as a REIT for any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax at corporate rates, and distributions to our stockholders would not qualify for the dividends paid deduction. This tax liability would reduce our net earnings available for distribution to our stockholders. In addition, we would generally be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the year in which we lose our REIT status and for the four taxable years following such year.

We do not distinguish or group our operations on a geographical or other basis for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, we have a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. All of our operations and assets are located within the United States, and no single hotel comprises more than 10% of our total revenue. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All material intercompany accounts and transactions among the Company and its subsidiaries have been eliminated. Refer to Part II, Item 8 of this report, for our consolidated financial statements.

Merger. On May 14, 2013, we completed our acquisition of Apple Six, pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 29, 2012 by and between us, BRE Holdings and Apple Six, pursuant to which Apple Six merged with and into us (the “Merger”). As a result of the Merger, we acquired 100% of the controlling interest of Apple Six. Each of Apple Six’s issued and outstanding common shares and related Series A preferred shares was exchanged for (i) \$9.20 in cash and (ii) one share of our 7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (“Series A Preferred Stock”) with an initial liquidation preference of \$1.90 per

[Table of Contents](#)

share. The Merger was funded by a net cash contribution of \$214.9 million indirectly made by the Sponsor and its affiliates, Series A Preferred Stock with an aggregate initial liquidation preference of \$184.4 million, and \$775.0 million of debt.

Debt. In December 2016, we exercised the first of three one-year options to extend the maturity of the mortgage loan we originally obtained in December 2014. The \$776.5 million loan has an interest rate equal to the one-month London interbank offered rate for deposits, or LIBOR, plus a margin rate of 2.80%. We also have a \$16.6 million loan secured by our Fort Worth, Texas Residence Inn property that matures in October 2022 and carries a fixed interest rate of 4.73%.

Business Strategy. Our primary objective is to enhance stockholder value by increasing funds from operations and cash available for distributions through internal growth and selective hotel renovation. This strategy includes utilizing our asset management expertise to improve the performance of our hotels by aggressively managing room rates, partnering with industry leaders in hotel management and franchising the hotels with leading brands, thereby improving revenue and operating performance of each hotel in its individual market. When cost effective, we renovate our properties to increase their ability to compete in particular markets. Although there are many factors that influence profitability, including national and local economic conditions, we believe our planned renovations and strong asset management of our portfolio will increase each hotel's performance in its individual market, although there can be no assurance of such results.

We sold two hotels during 2016 and designated six additional hotels as held for sale as of December 31, 2016. Five of the six hotels held for sale were subsequently sold during the first two months of 2017.

Hotel	Sale Date
Marriott - Boulder, Colorado	August 2016
Hilton Garden Inn - Fredericksburg, Virginia	August 2016
Fairfield Inn - Huntsville, Alabama	January 2017
TownePlace Suites - Arlington, Texas	January 2017
Springhill Suites - Clearwater, Florida	January 2017
TownePlace Suites - Las Colinas, Texas	January 2017
Courtyard - Albany, Georgia	February 2017

The sixth hotel held for sale, Springhill Suites – Arlington, Texas, is expected to be sold in March 2017.

[Table of Contents](#)

Brand Affiliations. Our hotels operate under strong, premium brands with all of our hotels operating under relationships with Marriott International Inc. or its affiliates (“Marriott”) or Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc. or its affiliates (“Hilton”). The following table sets forth the brand affiliations of our hotels as of December 31, 2016:

Number of Hotels and Guest Rooms by Brand		
Brand Affiliation	Number of Hotels	Number of Rooms
Marriott		
Residence Inn	10	1,247
Courtyard	10	990
TownePlace Suites	5	645
SpringHill Suites	5	579
Marriott	1	262
Fairfield Inn	3	204
Subtotal	34	3,927
Hilton		
Hilton Garden Inn	13	1,645
Hampton Inn / Hampton Inn & Suites	7	757
Homewood Suites	6	712
Subtotal	26	3,114
Total	60	7,041

Hotel Industry and Competition. The hotel industry in the United States is highly competitive, consisting of both private and public entities operating in diversified markets. The key industry participants are owners, franchisors and managers. Each of our hotels is located in a developed area that includes other hotels and competes for guests primarily with other hotels in our immediate vicinity and secondarily with other hotels in our geographic market. Competitive advantage is based on a number of factors, including brand recognition, location, room rates, customer service, and range of guest amenities offered. An increase in the number of competitive hotels in a particular area could have a material adverse effect on the occupancy, ADR and RevPAR of our hotels in that area.

[Table of Contents](#)

As of December 31, 2016 we owned 60 hotels with an aggregate of 7,041 rooms located in 17 states. The following table summarizes these hotels and rooms by state:

Number of Hotels and Guest Rooms by State		
State	Number of Hotels	Number of Rooms
Texas	10	1,247
California	9	1,078
Washington	4	692
Oregon	4	671
Florida	8	660
Alabama	6	461
Alaska	3	348
Connecticut	3	319
Colorado	2	303
Georgia	3	246
New Jersey	2	246
Pennsylvania	1	156
North Carolina	1	147
South Carolina	1	135
Tennessee	1	121
New York	1	112
Arizona	1	99
Total	60	7,041

Hotel Maintenance and Renovation. Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovation and refurbishment. We are required, under all of our hotel franchise agreements and under our loan agreements, to make a percentage of the gross revenues from each hotel available for the repair, replacement and refurbishing of furniture, fixtures, and equipment at such hotel, provided that such amounts may be used for certain of our capital expenditures with respect to the hotels.

Hotel Operating Agreements

We have entered into various franchise and/or management agreements, which provide us with a variety of benefits including national advertising, publicity, and other marketing programs designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel, continuous review of quality standards, centralized reservation systems and best practices within the industry. Below are general descriptions of our management, franchise and lease agreements:

Management Agreements. Each of our 60 hotels are operated and managed under separate management agreements, by affiliates of the following companies: Marriott, Texas Western International (“Western”), Larry Blumberg & Associates (“LBA”), White Lodging Services Corporation (“White”), Inn Ventures, Inc. (“Inn Ventures”), Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (“Interstate”), OTO Development, LLC (“OTO”), or Sage Hospitality (“Sage”). Our hotel managers generally have responsibility and authority for the day to day operations of each hotel, which include providing all hotel employees, overseeing operations and providing us with financial projections. As of December 31, 2016, the management agreements had remaining terms generally ranging from less than one year to 17 years. The agreements with less than one year remaining in their term generally automatically renew on annual or month-to-month terms unless either party to the agreement gives prior notice of the termination thereof. We are required to pay fees to the manager under these management agreements, and the fees associated with the agreements generally include the payment of base management fees, incentive management fees, accounting fees, and other fees for centralized services which are allocated among all of the hotels that receive the benefit of such services. Base management fees are calculated as a percentage of gross revenues. Incentive management fees are calculated as a percentage of operating profit in excess of a priority return to us, as defined in the management agreements. We have the option to terminate the management agreements if specified performance thresholds are not satisfied.

[Table of Contents](#)

Franchise Agreements. Western, LBA, White, Inn Ventures, Interstate, OTO and Sage are not affiliated with either Marriott or Hilton and, as a result, were required to obtain approval from the respective franchisor of the hotels they manage. The Hilton franchise agreements generally provide for an initial term of 13 to 20 years. Fees associated with the Hilton agreements generally include the payment of royalty fees and program fees based on room revenues. The Marriott franchise agreements generally provide for an initial term of 15 to 20 years. Fees associated with the Marriott agreements include the payment of royalty fees, marketing fees, reservation fees and a communications support fee based on room revenues.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary (“TRS”) Lease Agreements. Our lease agreements are intercompany agreements between the TRS lessees and our property-owning subsidiaries. These agreements generally contain terms which are customary for third-party lease agreements, including terms for rent payments and other expenses. All related rental income and expense related to the TRS lease agreements net to zero and have no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Seasonality

Demand in the lodging industry is impacted by recurring seasonal patterns. For properties located in non-resort markets, demand is generally lower in the winter months due to decreased travel and higher in the spring and summer months during peak travel season. Accordingly, we expect that we will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first and fourth quarters, and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the second and third quarters.

Regulation

General. Our hotels are subject to various U.S. federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe each of our hotels has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business, and is appropriately covered by insurance. In addition, states and local jurisdictions regulate the activities of hotels and restaurants, including safety and health standards, as well as the sale of liquor at such properties, where applicable, by requiring licensing, registration, disclosure statements and compliance with specific standards of conduct. Hotel operators are also subject to laws governing their relationship with employees, including minimum wage requirements, overtime, working conditions and work permit requirements. Our hotel management companies are responsible for compliance with these laws. Compliance with or changes in these laws could reduce the revenue and profitability of our properties and could otherwise adversely impact our operations.

Americans with Disabilities Act. All of our hotels are required to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”). The ADA has separate compliance requirements for “public accommodations” and “commercial facilities,” but generally requires that buildings be made accessible to people with disabilities. Compliance with the ADA requirements could require removal of access barriers, and noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the United States government or an award of damages to private litigants, or both. Although we believe that the hotels in our portfolio substantially comply with the present requirements of the ADA, the obligation in regards to the ADA is an ongoing one and we will continue to assess our hotels and make appropriate adjustments as needed.

Environmental Matters. In connection with each of our hotel acquisitions, we have obtained a Phase I Environmental Report and additional environmental reports and surveys, as were necessitated by the preliminary report. Based on the reports, we are not aware of any environmental situations requiring remediation at our properties. Under various laws, owners as well as tenants and operators of real estate may be required to investigate and clean up or remove hazardous substances present at or migrating from properties they own, lease or operate and may be held liable for property damage or personal injuries that result from hazardous substances. These laws also expose us to the possibility that we may become liable to reimburse governments for damages and costs we incur in connection with hazardous substances.

[Table of Contents](#)

Insurance

We carry comprehensive insurance, including general liability, property, rental loss and umbrella liability coverage on all of our hotels. In addition, we carry flood coverage on certain hotels when available on commercially reasonable terms for hotels where we believe such coverage is warranted or required under the terms of our debt agreements. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice, and as such believe our hotels are adequately insured.

Employees

We have no employees. During 2016, all employees involved in the day-to-day operation of our hotels were employed by third-party management companies engaged pursuant to the hotel management agreements. Corporate services are provided by another management company engaged pursuant to a corporate services agreement.

Available Information

Our Internet website address is www.bre-select-hotels.com. We make available free of charge through our Internet website our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference in this report.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the following factors which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations (including revenues and profitability), operating cash flow and value. Our business is also subject to general risks and uncertainties that may broadly affect companies, including us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations (including revenues and profitability), operating cash flow and value.

Risks Related to Our Business

We are subject to various risks inherent to the hospitality industry beyond our control, any of which could adversely affect our business, results of operations and value of our hotels.

Our business is subject to a number of business, financial and operating risks inherent to the hospitality industry and the agreements under which we operate, including, but not limited to:

- significant competition from other hospitality providers and lodging alternatives;
- an increase in supply of hotel rooms that exceeds increases in demand;
- dependence on business and leisure travel;
- governmental action and uncertainty resulting from U.S. and global political trends, including potential barriers to travel, trade and immigration;
- increases in energy costs and other travel expenses, which may adversely affect travel patterns;
- our relationships with and the performance of third-party managers and franchisors;
- reduced travel due to geo-political uncertainty, including terrorism, legislation or executive policies, travel-related health concerns, including the widespread outbreak of infectious or contagious diseases in the U.S., inclement weather conditions, including natural disasters such as hurricanes and earthquakes, and airline strikes or disruptions;
- reduced travel due to adverse national, regional or local economic and market conditions;
- changes in desirability of geographic regions in which our hotels are located;
- increases in operating costs, including increases in the cost of property insurance, utilities and real estate and personal property taxes, due to inflation and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- labor shortages and increases in the cost of labor due to low unemployment rates or to government regulations surrounding wage rates, health care coverage and other benefits;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and
- any inability to remodel outmoded buildings as required by the franchise or lease agreement.

In addition, as our hotels are managed by third-party property managers, the success of our properties will depend largely on the property managers' ability to address these factors. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our revenues, limit opportunities for growth and the value of our properties. Additionally, these items, among others, may reduce the availability of our capital and the funds we have available to distribute to our stockholders.

[Table of Contents](#)

Adverse national and regional economic conditions may adversely affect our business and financial performance.

The performance of the lodging industry has historically been closely linked to the performance of the general economy, both nationally and in local markets within the United States. The lodging industry is also sensitive to business, government and personal discretionary levels of spending. Declines in corporate and government budgets and consumer demand due to adverse general economic conditions can negatively impact travel patterns, which could lower the revenue and profitability of our hotels. A slowing of national and local economic growth or overall economic weakness could have an adverse effect on our revenue and negatively impact our profitability. Furthermore, even if the economy in the United States in general continues to improve, we cannot provide any assurances that demand for hotels will increase from current levels in the markets where our hotels are located.

In addition, certain expenses, such as management costs, certain utility costs, minimum supply and maintenance costs, interest expense, ground leases, property taxes and insurance, are relatively fixed in nature. During a period of overall economic weakness, if we are unable to substantially decrease these costs as demand for our hotels decreases, our financial results may be adversely affected.

The hotel industry is highly competitive, and we might not be able to compete effectively.

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Each of our hotels competes for guests primarily with other hotels in our immediate vicinity and secondarily with other hotels in our geographic market. We also compete with numerous owners and operators of vacations ownership resorts, as well as alternative lodging companies, such as HomeAway and Airbnb, which operate websites that market available furnished, privately-owned residential properties, including homes and condominiums, that can be rented on a nightly, weekly or monthly basis. An increase in the number of competitive hotels, vacation ownership resorts and alternative lodging arrangements in a particular area could have a material adverse effect on the occupancy, average daily rate and revenue per available room of our hotels in that area.

We are dependent on the performance of the third-party management companies that manage the operations of each of our hotels and could be materially and adversely affected if such third-party managers do not manage our hotels in our best interests.

Because federal income tax laws restrict REITs and their subsidiaries from operating or managing hotels, we do not operate or manage our hotels. Instead, we lease all of our hotels to our TRS lessees, and our TRS lessees retain third-party managers to operate our hotels pursuant to management agreements. We have entered into individual hotel management agreements for all 60 of our hotels with eight different managers.

Under the terms of the hotel management agreements, the hotel managers are responsible for all aspects of the operations of our hotels, including ensuring those operations are conducted in accordance with applicable law and regulations and in our best interests, and our ability to participate in operating decisions regarding our hotels is limited to certain matters, including approval of the annual operating budget. While our TRS lessees closely monitor the performance and operations of our third-party managers, we cannot assure you that the managers will manage our hotels in a manner that is consistent with their respective obligations under the applicable hotel management agreement or our obligations under our hotel franchise agreements. We also cannot assure you that our hotel managers will not be negligent in their performance, will not engage in criminal or fraudulent activity, or will not otherwise default on their respective management obligations to us. We do not have the authority to require any hotel to be operated in a particular manner, even if we believe that our hotels are not being operated efficiently or in our best interests, and our general recourse under the hotel management agreements is limited to termination upon advance notice. From time to time, disputes may arise between us and our third-party managers regarding their performance or compliance with the terms of the hotel management agreements, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations. We generally will attempt to resolve any such disputes through

[Table of Contents](#)

discussions or negotiations; however, if we are unable to reach satisfactory results through discussions and negotiations, we may choose to terminate our management agreement, litigate the dispute or submit the matter to their party dispute resolution, the outcome of which may be unfavorable to us.

Our investments are concentrated in a single segment of the hotel industry.

We are focused on the ownership of upscale, extended-stay and select-service hotels concentrated in markets that offer multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. We are subject to risks inherent in concentrating investments in a single industry and in a specific market segment within that industry. The adverse effect on amounts available for distribution to stockholders resulting from a downturn in the hotel industry in general or the mid-scale segment in particular could be more pronounced than if we had diversified our investments outside of the hotel industry or in additional hotel market segments.

The franchise, management and license agreements pursuant to which our properties operate contain restrictions and limitations that may adversely affect our business.

Our subsidiaries operate all of the properties pursuant to franchise, management or license agreements with nationally recognized hotel brands. These agreements contain specific standards for, and restrictions and limitations on, the operation and maintenance of our properties in order to maintain uniformity within the franchisor system. These standards could potentially conflict with our ability to create specific business plans tailored to each property and to each market. The existence of construction or renovation at a property may make a property less attractive to guests, and accordingly have a negative impact on cash flows. Additionally, such construction or renovations may not be completed or may not be completed in the contemplated time frame. Upon completion, such construction or renovation may not improve the operations at, or increase the value of, the subject property.

All of our hotels operate under either Marriott or Hilton brands; therefore, we are subject to risks associated with concentrating our portfolio in just two brand families.

All of the hotels we owned as of December 31, 2016 operate under relationships with Marriott or Hilton. As a result, our success is dependent in part on the continued strength of the Marriott and Hilton brands. We believe strong premium brands provide value through increased demand and consumer loyalty. Consequently, if the market recognition or positive perception of Marriott and/or Hilton deteriorates or is compromised, the goodwill associated with their branded hotels in our portfolio may be adversely affected, and any decreased financial health of the franchisor could adversely affect the income generated by our properties.

Consumers' increasing use of travel intermediaries may materially and adversely affect our profitability.

Although a majority of rooms booked are effected through websites maintained by the hotel franchisors, some of our hotel rooms will be booked through other channels or intermediaries. Typically, these travel intermediaries have access to room inventories at participating hotels and charge higher commissions, which reduces the hotel's profitability. In addition, some of these travel intermediaries may attempt to offer hotel rooms as a commodity by increasing the importance of price and general indicators of quality at the expense of brand identification. If consumers develop brand loyalties to alternate reservation systems rather than to the brands under which our hotels are franchised, the value of our hotels could decrease, and our business and profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

The hospitality industry is subject to seasonal volatility, which may contribute to fluctuations in our results of operations.

The hotel industry is seasonal in nature. Generally, occupancy rates and hotel revenues are greater in the second and third quarters than in the first and fourth quarters. The seasonality causes periodic fluctuations in room revenues, occupancy levels, room rates and operating expenses in particular hotels. We can provide no assurances that our cash flows will be sufficient to offset any shortfalls that occur as a result of these fluctuations. As a result, there may be quarterly fluctuations in our results of operations, which may affect our revenues and our ability to make distributions to stockholders.

[Table of Contents](#)

Real estate property investments are illiquid, and it may not be possible to dispose of assets when appropriate or on favorable terms.

We continually monitor the profitability of our hotels, market conditions, and capital requirements and attempt to maximize stockholder value by timely and opportunistically disposing of assets. Real estate property investments generally cannot be disposed of quickly and a return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally occur upon the disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by sale or other disposition at attractive prices, within any desired period of time or at all. The ability to sell assets could also be restricted by certain covenants in our debt agreements. As a result, we may be required to dispose of assets on less than favorable terms or at less than desirable times, and we may be unable to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions, which could adversely affect our financial position.

Certain hotels are subject to ground leases that may impact our ability to use the hotel or restrict our ability to sell the hotel.

As of December 31, 2016, four of our hotels were subject to ground leases. Accordingly, we only own a long-term leasehold, sub-leasehold or similar interest in those four hotels. Our ground lease agreements require the consent of the lessor or sub-lessor prior to transferring our interest in the ground lease. These provisions may impact our ability to sell our hotels which, in turn, could adversely impact the price realized from any such sale. If we are found to be in breach of a ground lease, we could lose the right to operate the hotel. In addition, unless we can purchase a fee interest in the underlying land or renew the terms of these leases before their expiration, as to which no assurances can be given, we will lose our right to operate these properties and our interest in the property, including any investment made in the property. Our ability to exercise any extension options relating to our ground leases is subject to, among other conditions, the condition that we are not in default under the terms of the ground lease at the time that we exercise such options, and we can provide no assurances that we will be able to exercise any available options at such time. If we were to lose the right to use a hotel due to a breach or non-renewal of a ground lease, we would be unable to derive income from such hotel.

Certain perils could adversely affect our business.

Concentrations of properties in particular geographic areas or regions of the United States may increase the risk that adverse economic or other developments or natural disasters (e.g., earthquakes, floods, hurricanes or events such as the platform explosion and subsequent oil spill that occurred in the Gulf of Mexico in 2010) affecting a particular region of the country could adversely impact our business. Some of our properties are located in areas, such as California, Oregon and Washington, which are high-risk geographical areas for earthquakes. In addition, we have properties that are located in coastal counties which may be more susceptible to wind or flood damage than properties in other parts of the country. Some of the properties are also located in or near major urban areas which could be the target of future terrorist acts. Depending upon its magnitude, an earthquake, hurricane, severe storm or terrorist act could severely damage one or more of our properties, which would adversely affect our business. We maintain property insurance for our properties and the resulting business interruption. Although our properties are insured to the extent and at levels consistent with insurance carried by institutional owners of hotels, there is no assurance that any loss incurred will be of a type covered by such insurance and will not exceed the limits of such insurance. Additionally, any earthquake, wind, flood or terrorist peril, whether or not insured, could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Environmental conditions could result in significant unexpected costs.

We are subject to federal, state and local environmental regulations that apply generally to the ownership of real property and the operations conducted on real property. Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, we may be considered an owner or operator of real property or may have arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at a property and, therefore,

[Table of Contents](#)

may become liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous substances released on or in our property or disposed of by us, as well as certain other potential costs which could relate to hazardous or toxic substances (including governmental fines and injuries to persons and property). Such liability may be imposed whether or not we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence of these hazardous or toxic substances. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate such substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell such property or to borrow using such property as collateral.

There may also be asbestos-containing materials at some of our properties. While we do not expect the environmental conditions at our properties, considered as a whole, to have a material adverse effect, there can be no assurance that this will be the case. Further, no assurance can be given that any environmental studies performed have identified or will identify all material environmental conditions that may exist with respect to any of the properties that we own.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and fire, safety and other regulations may require us to make expenditures that adversely affect our cash flows.

All of the properties that we own are required to comply with the ADA. The ADA has separate compliance requirements for “public accommodations” and “commercial facilities,” but generally requires that buildings be made accessible to people with disabilities. Compliance with the ADA requirements could require removal of access barriers, and noncompliance could result in imposition of fines by the United States government or an award of damages to private litigants, or both. As a result, we could be required to expend funds to comply with the provisions of the ADA, which could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, we are required to operate the properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and bodies and become applicable to the properties. We may be required to make substantial capital expenditures to comply with those requirements, and these expenditures could have a material adverse effect on our ability to meet our financial obligations, to sell such property or to borrow using such property as collateral.

We are subject to the risks associated with the employment of hotel personnel.

Our third-party hotel management companies are responsible for hiring and maintaining the labor force at each of our hotels. Although we do not directly employ or manage the employees at our hotels, we still are subject to many of the costs and risks generally associated with the hotel labor force, particularly those hotels with unionized labor. From time to time, the hotel operations may be disrupted as a result of strikes, lockouts, public demonstrations or other negative actions and publicity. We also may incur increased legal costs and indirect labor costs as a result of contract disputes or other events. The resolution of labor disputes or re-negotiated labor contracts could lead to higher labor costs, either by increases in wages or benefits or by changes in work rules that raise hotel operating costs. We do not have the ability to affect the outcome of these negotiations.

Our real estate assets may be subject to impairment charges.

We assess on a periodic basis whether there are any indicators that the value of our real estate assets may be impaired. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is determined by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flow expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying value of such assets exceeds such cash flows, the assets are considered impaired. The impairment charge to be recognized is measured by the amount by which carrying value exceeds fair value. Fair value is determined by using management’s best estimate of discounted net cash flows over the remaining life of the asset or other indicators of fair value.

We are required to use estimates and assumptions that affect the reported value of these assets and these assessments will have a direct impact on our earnings because recording an impairment charge results in an

[Table of Contents](#)

immediate negative adjustment to earnings. There can be no assurance that we will not take charges in the future related to the impairment of our assets. Any future impairment could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period in which the charge is taken.

Renovations and capital improvements may result in disruptions to our hotels, strain management resources and materially and adversely affect our business.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovation and refurbishment. We are required, under all of our hotel franchise agreements, under our Marriott management agreements and under our loan agreements, to make a percentage of the gross revenues from each hotel available for the repair, replacement and refurbishing of furniture, fixtures, and equipment at such hotel, provided that such amounts may be used for certain of our capital expenditures with respect to the hotels. In addition, from time to time, we will need to make renovations and capital improvements to comply with applicable laws and regulations, to remain competitive with other hotels in our markets, and to maintain the economic value of our hotels. Occupancy and ADR are often affected by the maintenance and capital improvements at a hotel, especially in the event that the maintenance or improvements are not completed on schedule, or if the improvements require a significant disruption at the hotel. The costs of capital improvements we need or choose to make could reduce the funds available for other purposes and may reduce our profitability.

Technology is used in operations, and any material failure, inadequacy, interruption or security failure of that technology could harm our business.

We and our hotel managers and franchisors rely on information technology networks and systems, including the Internet, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of business processes, including financial transactions and records, personal identifying information, reservations, billing and operating data. Some of the information technology is purchased from vendors, on whom the systems depend. We and our hotel managers and franchisors may rely on commercially available and internally developed systems, software, tools and monitoring to provide security for processing, transmission and storage of confidential operator and other customer information, such as individually identifiable information, including information relating to financial accounts. Although we and our hotel managers and franchisors have taken steps necessary to protect the security of our and their information systems and the data maintained in those systems, it is possible that the safety and security measures taken will not be able to prevent the systems' improper functioning or damage, or the improper access or disclosure of personally identifiable information such as in the event of cyber-attacks. Security breaches, including physical or electronic break-ins, computer viruses, attacks by hackers and similar breaches, can create system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any failure to maintain proper function, security and availability of information systems could interrupt operations, damage reputation, subject us to liability claims or regulatory penalties and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our subsidiaries have a substantial amount of indebtedness and may not be able to make the required payments on their debt or refinance their indebtedness when it comes due.

As of December 31, 2016, we had \$793.1 million of mortgage debt outstanding. Our substantial leverage subjects us to a number of risks, including the following:

- a decrease in our net operating cash flow or an increase in our expenses could make it difficult for us or our subsidiaries to satisfy our or their respective debt service requirements or force us to modify our operations;
- the indebtedness is secured by mortgage liens on all of our properties, reducing our ability to obtain additional financing;
- our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures or other purposes may be impaired or any such financing may not be available on terms favorable to us;

Table of Contents

- interest expense reduces the funds that would otherwise be available to us for our operations and future business opportunities or for distributions to the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock or our stockholder;
- the substantial leverage increases our vulnerability to general economic downturns and adverse industry conditions, or we may be unable to carry out capital spending that is important to our growth and the maintenance of our properties;
- the substantial leverage could limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and our industry in general;
- the substantial leverage may cause us to make non-strategic divestitures; and
- the substantial leverage and the amount required to pay our debt obligations could place us in a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that are less highly-leveraged because, among other things, it may restrict our ability to make competitive upgrades to our existing buildings.

Our substantial consolidated indebtedness exposes our assets to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in one or more properties.

As of December 31, 2016, 59 of our 60 properties secured \$776.5 million of cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted mortgage debt (which we refer to herein as the “debt financing”), and one remaining property secured a separate mortgage loan for \$16.6 million. If our subsidiaries that are borrowers under the debt financing, are unable to meet the required debt service payments under the debt financing, the holder of the mortgage could foreclose on the cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized properties, resulting in a loss of our investment. Alternatively, if we decide to cause the sale of properties to raise funds to meet debt service obligations, it is possible that such properties would be disposed of at a loss.

We may not be able to make the required payments on our debt or refinance our debt when it comes due, and we may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our debt, which may or may not be successful.

The debt financing is not subject to any mandatory amortization payments. Indebtedness with a substantial remaining principal balance on its stated maturity involves a greater risk of non-payment at maturity than a fully amortizing loan. When the remaining principal balance under the debt financing becomes due, borrowers may not have enough cash to repay the outstanding indebtedness under the debt financing and may not be able to obtain new financing to repay the outstanding indebtedness under the debt financing or the terms of any new financing may not be as favorable as the terms of the debt financing. If the interest rate on any new debt is higher than the rate on the debt financing, the borrowers’ costs will increase. The borrowers’ ability to refinance the debt financing and the terms on which they might refinance will depend upon economic conditions, conditions in the capital markets and in the hotel industry and on the performance of the properties owned by borrowers. The recent economic downturn has resulted in the tightening of lending standards and a substantial reduction in capital available to refinance commercial mortgage loans. These factors have increased the risks in refinancing commercial mortgage loans. There is no guarantee that we will be able to refinance or cause the repayment of the outstanding indebtedness under the debt financing or any of our loans at maturity. If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or paid with proceeds of other capital transactions, cash flows may not be sufficient in all years to repay all maturing debt at the relevant time(s) and one or more properties or ownership interests in the mortgage borrowers may be foreclosed upon or we may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms.

Covenants and other restrictions in our debt financing arrangement will limit our operations and activities.

The loan documents related to the debt financing (which we refer to herein as the “debt agreements”) contain, and the terms of any future indebtedness may contain, certain financial, operating and other covenants that

[Table of Contents](#)

restrict our ability to finance future operations or capital needs or engage in other activities that may be in our interest. Such restrictions affect, and in many respects limit or prohibit, among other things, our or certain of our subsidiaries' ability to:

- incur additional secured or unsecured indebtedness;
- make cash distributions at any time that the debt yield, representing the quotient (expressed as a percentage) calculated by dividing the annualized net operating income of the properties subject to the debt agreements by the outstanding principal amount of the indebtedness under the debt agreements, is less than 7.50% during the first four years of the debt agreements and 7.75% during the fifth year of the debt agreements or if there is a default continuing under the loan, until such time as the debt yield is equal to or greater than 7.50% during the first four years of the debt agreements) and 7.75% during the fifth year of the debt agreements or the loan default has been cured;
- make investments or acquisitions;
- use assets as security in other transactions;
- sell assets (except that the borrowers are permitted to sell assets so long as the debt yield is not reduced, subject to payment of applicable prepayment premiums and other property release requirements);
- guarantee other indebtedness; and
- consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of our assets.

A breach of any of these covenants could result in an event of default and/or accelerate some or all our indebtedness under the debt agreements. If an event of default occurs under the debt agreements, the lenders could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding under the debt agreements, together with any accrued and unpaid interest, immediately due and payable, or require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings.

Our business could be materially adversely affected if we incur legal liability.

As a result of regulatory inquiries or other regulatory actions, we may become subject to lawsuits. Our ability to access capital markets, including commercial debt markets, could be negatively impacted by unfavorable, or the possibility of unfavorable, outcomes to lawsuits or adverse regulatory actions.

We may become subject to regulatory inquiries, which could result in costs and personnel time commitment in connection with responding. We may also become subject to action by governing regulatory agencies as a result of our activities, which could result in costs to respond and fines or changes in the our business practices, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity, capital resources, and cash flows.

We face possible risks associated with the physical effects of climate change.

We cannot predict with certainty whether climate change is occurring, and if so, at what rate. However, the physical effects of climate change could have a material adverse effect on us. For example, several of our hotels are located along the Gulf and East coasts. To the extent climate change causes changes in weather patterns, our markets could experience increases in storm intensity and rising sea-levels. Over time, these conditions could result in declining hotel demand or our ability to operate the affected hotels at all. Climate change also may have indirect effects on our business by increasing the cost of (or making unavailable) property insurance on terms we find acceptable, increasing the cost of energy and increasing the cost of snow removal at our properties. There can be no assurance that climate change will not have a material adverse effect on us.

[Table of Contents](#)

Risks Related to Series A Preferred Stock

We are a holding company dependent upon the assets and operations of our subsidiaries, and because of our structure, we may not be able to generate the funds necessary to make payments on the Series A Preferred Stock.

We are a holding company with no assets other than the capital stock of our subsidiaries. These subsidiaries conduct all of our operations and are our only source of income. Accordingly, we are dependent on dividends and other distributions from our subsidiaries to generate the funds necessary to make payments on the Series A Preferred Stock, including payments of dividends and amounts due upon redemption. The debt agreements contain covenants that restrict the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other distributions to us, which may impair our ability to make cash payments on the Series A Preferred Stock. Any of our or our subsidiaries' future indebtedness will likely include restrictions with similar effects. The Series A Preferred Stock is solely our obligation and no other entity has any obligation, contingent or otherwise, to make any payments in respect of the Series A Preferred Stock.

The Series A Preferred Stock effectively ranks junior to any of our or our subsidiaries' indebtedness.

The Series A Preferred Stock effectively ranks junior to the debt financing and any other of our or our subsidiaries' indebtedness. We and our subsidiaries had \$793.1 million of indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2016. The debt financing is secured by liens on substantially all of the assets of our subsidiaries. This permits the lenders under the debt financing to be paid from the proceeds of our assets before any of our other creditors or equity holders, including holders of Series A Preferred Stock, may be paid. In addition, the certificate of designations governing the Series A Preferred Stock permits us and our subsidiaries to incur up to \$800 million of indebtedness without the consent of the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. We may, however, incur more than \$800 million of indebtedness without the consent of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock to the extent the proceeds of such additional indebtedness are used to redeem or purchase Series A Preferred Stock.

Under certain circumstances, we may be prevented from paying dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, or dividends may be paid in additional Series A Preferred Stock instead of cash.

Although dividends on Series A Preferred Stock are cumulative and accrue in arrears until paid, holders are entitled to dividends only when, as and if declared by our board of directors, and will not receive cash dividends if we do not have funds legally available for such payment, or if such payment is prohibited by law or by the terms of any of our or our subsidiaries' indebtedness.

Under Delaware law, our board of directors may declare dividends on our capital stock, including the Series A Preferred Stock, only out of our surplus. If we have no surplus, the board may declare dividends out of our net profits for the year in which a dividend is declared or for the immediately preceding fiscal year. In order to pay dividends in cash, we must have surplus or net profits equal to the full amount of the cash dividend at the time the dividend is declared and paid. We cannot predict what the value of our assets or the amount of our liabilities will be in the future. Thus, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to pay cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock.

The debt agreements contain covenants that may impair our ability to pay cash dividends. To the extent that (i) we are unable to declare or pay full cash dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock as a result of the terms of any of our or our subsidiaries' indebtedness, including the debt financing, or (ii) insufficient funds are legally available to us for the payment in full of such cash dividends, we may elect to instead pay such dividends in additional Series A Preferred Stock.

Dividends will accumulate at the specified applicable rate on the liquidation preference per share (as it may be adjusted from time to time). If we are dissolved, liquidated or wound up at a time when the Series A Preferred

[Table of Contents](#)

Stock remain outstanding, the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock will be entitled to receive only an amount equal to the liquidation preference (as it may be adjusted from time to time), plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends, to the extent that we have funds legally available. Any remaining assets will be distributable to holders of our other equity securities.

The Series A Preferred Stock is redeemable at our election at any time.

We have the right to redeem all or any portion of the Series A Preferred Stock at any time without the consent of holders for an amount in cash equal to the liquidation preference (as it may be adjusted from time to time), plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends (subject to the right of holders of record on any dividend record date to receive the related dividend payment). Upon such redemption, a holder of the Series A Preferred Stock may be required to recognize capital gains for federal income tax purposes as a result thereof.

The holders of Series A Preferred Stock have limited rights to require us to redeem these shares, and we may not be able to redeem Series A Preferred Stock if required.

Although we may elect to redeem all or any portion of the Series A Preferred Stock at any time, we are required to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock only under limited circumstances and only if funds are legally available.

Specifically, we are required to redeem, in cash out of any legally available funds, all of the Series A Preferred Stock for the applicable redemption price not later than the 60th day following any change of control (or, under certain circumstances, upon the occurrence of such change of control). In addition, on or after November 14, 2020, any holder of Series A Preferred Stock may require us to redeem, in cash out of any legally available funds, all or any portion of such holder's Series A Preferred Stock at the redemption price not later than the quarterly dividend payment date next following such redemption request.

For these purposes, a "change of control" occurs (i) if BRE Holdings and its affiliates cease to (x) beneficially own at least 50% of the total voting power of all of our shares entitled to vote generally in the election of directors or (y) have the right to appoint a majority of the members of our board of directors or (ii) upon the sale, lease, conveyance or other transfer, directly or indirectly, of all or substantially all of our property and assets. Accordingly, BRE Holdings and its affiliates may sell a significant portion of our common stock or property and assets without being required to redeem any Series A Preferred Stock.

We may not have sufficient legally available funds to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock if required to do so following any change of control or pursuant to any redemption request. In addition, the debt agreements contain covenants that may impair our ability to redeem Series A Preferred Stock.

The liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Stock may be reduced in the future.

The initial liquidation preference of \$1.90 per share of Series A Preferred Stock may be reduced significantly should net costs and payments relating to litigation and regulatory matters for alleged legacy acts exceed \$3.5 million (see Part I, Item 3, "Legal Proceedings"). At this time, we cannot provide a reasonable estimate of the net costs and payments relating any such matters, but it is possible that the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Stock could be reduced to zero. Even if we are successful in addressing these legal matters, the costs associated with them may be significant, and the liquidation preference may therefore be reduced significantly below \$1.90 per share.

The Series A Preferred Stock does not and will not have a trading market and is subject to restrictions on ownership and transfer.

No public market for the Series A Preferred Stock currently exists and we do not expect that one will develop at any time in the future. The Series A Preferred Stock is not listed on any securities exchange. In addition, we must

[Table of Contents](#)

comply with certain requirements to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Accordingly, our certificate of incorporation provides that to the extent that any transfer of stock would jeopardize our status as a REIT, cause us to be “pension-held,” or cause us not to be “domestically controlled” (which we refer to herein as “prohibited events”), then the number of shares that otherwise would result in a prohibited event will be deemed to have been transferred to a trustee to be held in a trust established by our board of directors for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary. If the transfer to the charitable trust would not for any reason prevent the prohibited event, then the transfer of that number of shares that otherwise would cause the prohibited event will be void. In either case, the intended transferee (which we refer to herein as the “prohibited owner”) will not acquire any rights in such shares. A prohibited owner would receive net proceeds from the sale in accordance with our certificate of incorporation.

As a result of the lack of a trading market and the restrictions on transferability discussed above, holders of Series A Preferred Stock may not be able to sell the Series A Preferred Stock and, even if they sell Series A Preferred Stock, the price may be significantly less than their liquidation preference or their fair market value.

The Sponsor and its affiliates control us and may have conflicts of interest with us or holders of the Series A Preferred Stock.

The Sponsor and its affiliates control us. Through their ownership, they have the power to elect all of our directors and appoint new management. Subject to the limited rights of the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, the Sponsor and its affiliates also have the power to approve any action requiring the approval of our stockholders, including the adoption of amendments to our certificate of incorporation and our decisions to enter into any significant corporate transactions, including mergers and sales of substantially all of our assets.

So long as the Sponsor and its affiliates continue to directly or indirectly hold a significant amount of our equity interests, they will continue to be able to strongly influence or effectively control our decisions. Further, the Sponsor and its affiliates are in the business of making investments in companies and real estate assets and currently own, and may, from time to time, acquire and hold, in each case, interests in businesses or assets that compete directly or indirectly with us. In addition, certain affiliates of the Sponsor have significant influence over Hilton, which indirectly owns the entities that serve as franchisors and receive franchise fees for 26 of the hotels owned by us. In accordance with our certificate of incorporation, the Sponsor has no obligation to present any corporate opportunities to us or to conduct its other business and investment affairs in our or Series A Preferred stockholders’ best interests. In connection with the Sponsor’s and its affiliates’ business activities, the Sponsor, BRE Holdings or any of their affiliates, including, without limitation, Hilton, may from time to time enter into arrangements with us or our subsidiaries.

BRE Holdings and its affiliates may sell their shares in us, or cause us to sell significant portions of its property or assets, to any third-party at any time without making any payment to the holders of Series A Preferred Stock, so long as such sale does not constitute a change of control.

BRE Holdings and any of its affiliates that may own our shares may sell their shares, or may cause us to sell significant portions of our property or assets, to any third-party at any time without obtaining the consent of the holders of the Series A Preferred Stock. Holders of Series A Preferred Stock are not entitled to any redemption or other payment upon such sale unless it constitutes a change of control.

Series A Preferred stockholders are not protected from certain important corporate events, such as a reorganization, restructuring, merger or similar transaction, unless such transaction constitutes a change of control. Such a transaction may not involve a change in voting power or beneficial ownership or, even if it does, may not involve a change that constitutes a change of control that would trigger our obligation to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock.

[Table of Contents](#)

We are a voluntary filer with the SEC and we may cease reporting at any time.

As a voluntary filer, we are not required to file periodic reports under the Exchange Act. If we were to cease to be a reporting company under the Exchange Act, and to the extent not required in connection with any debt or equity securities of the Company, the information now available to holders of Series A Preferred Stock in the annual, quarterly and other reports filed by us would not be available to holders of the Series A Preferred Stock.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Qualifying as a REIT involves highly complex and technical provisions of the tax code.

Our qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly complex and technical tax code provisions for which only limited judicial and administrative authorities exist. A technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our REIT qualification. In addition, new legislation, court decisions or administrative guidance, in each case possibly with retroactive effect, may make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT. Maintaining our qualification as a REIT will depend on our satisfaction of certain asset, income, organizational, distribution, stockholder ownership and other requirements on a continuing basis. Our ability to satisfy the REIT income and asset tests depends upon our analysis of the characterization and fair market values of our assets, some of which are not susceptible to a precise determination and for which we will not obtain independent appraisals, and upon our ability to successfully manage the composition of our income and assets on an ongoing basis. In addition, our ability to satisfy the requirements to maintain our qualification as a REIT depends in part on the actions of third parties over which we have no control or only limited influence.

If we do not qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to tax as a regular corporation and could face a substantial tax liability.

The U.S. federal income tax rules governing a REIT are highly technical and complex. They require ongoing compliance with and interpretation of a variety of tests and regulations that depend on, among other things, future operations. Although we intend to operate as a REIT and expect to satisfy these tests, there can be no assurance that we will qualify as a REIT for any particular year. If we fail to qualify as a REIT for any taxable year, we would be subject to federal income tax at corporate rates and distributions to our stockholders would not qualify for the dividends paid deduction. This tax liability would reduce net earnings available for distribution to stockholders. In addition we would generally be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the year in which we lose our REIT status and for the four taxable years following such year.

If our leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, pursuant to which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income, such as rent. For the rent paid pursuant to the hotel leases with our TRS lessees, which we currently expect will continue to constitute substantially all of our gross income, to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and must not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. We believe that the leases will be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes. There can be no assurance, however, that the IRS will agree with this characterization. If the leases were not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would not be able to satisfy either of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs and would likely lose our REIT status.

If any hotel management companies that we engage do not qualify as “eligible independent contractors,” or if our hotels are not “qualified lodging facilities,” we would likely fail to qualify as a REIT.

Rent paid by a lessee that is a “related party tenant” of ours generally will not be qualifying income for purposes of the two gross income tests applicable to REITs. An exception is provided, however, for leases of “qualified lodging facilities” to a TRS so long as the hotels are managed by an “eligible independent contractor” and certain

[Table of Contents](#)

other requirements are satisfied. We intend to take advantage of this exception. We lease and expect to lease all of our hotels to TRS lessees, which are disregarded subsidiaries of the TRS, and to engage hotel management companies that are intended to qualify as “eligible independent contractors.” While we believe that our hotel management companies all currently meet the various tests to qualify as eligible independent contractors, there can be no assurances that the requirements will be met in all cases in the future.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates on dividend income from regular corporations.

The maximum marginal rate of tax payable by taxpayers taxed at individual rates on dividends received from a regular C corporation is 20%. This reduced tax rate, however, will not apply to dividends paid by a REIT on its stock, except for certain limited amounts.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None

[Table of Contents](#)**Item 2. Properties**

As of December 31, 2016, we owned 60 hotels located in 17 states with an aggregate of 7,041 rooms, consisting of the following:

City	State	Brand	Manager	Number of Rooms
Dothan	Alabama	Courtyard	LBA	78
Dothan	Alabama	Hampton Inn & Suites	LBA	85
Huntsville	Alabama	Fairfield Inn	LBA	79
Huntsville	Alabama	Residence Inn	LBA	78
Tuscaloosa	Alabama	Courtyard	LBA	78
Tuscaloosa	Alabama	Fairfield Inn	LBA	63
Anchorage	Alaska	Hampton Inn	Interstate	101
Anchorage	Alaska	Hilton Garden Inn	Interstate	125
Anchorage	Alaska	Homewood Suites	Interstate	122
Phoenix	Arizona	Hampton Inn	OTO	99
Arcadia	California	Hilton Garden Inn	OTO	124
Arcadia	California	SpringHill Suites	OTO	86
Bakersfield	California	Hilton Garden Inn	Interstate	120
Folsom	California	Hilton Garden Inn	Inn Ventures	100
Foothill Ranch	California	Hampton Inn	OTO	84
Lake Forest	California	Hilton Garden Inn	OTO	103
Milpitas	California	Hilton Garden Inn	Inn Ventures	161
Roseville	California	Hilton Garden Inn	Inn Ventures	131
San Francisco	California	Hilton Garden Inn	White	169
Glendale	Colorado	Hampton Inn & Suites	Sage	133
Lakewood	Colorado	Hampton Inn	Sage	170
Farmington	Connecticut	Courtyard	White	119
Rocky Hill	Connecticut	Residence Inn	White	96
Wallingford	Connecticut	Homewood Suites	White	104
Clearwater	Florida	SpringHill Suites	Interstate	79
Lake Mary	Florida	Courtyard	Interstate	83
Lakeland	Florida	Residence Inn	LBA	78
Panama City	Florida	Courtyard	LBA	84
Pensacola	Florida	Courtyard	LBA	90
Pensacola	Florida	Fairfield Inn	LBA	62
Pensacola	Florida	Hampton Inn & Suites	LBA	85
Tallahassee	Florida	Hilton Garden Inn	Interstate	99
Albany	Georgia	Courtyard	LBA	84
Columbus	Georgia	Residence Inn	LBA	78
Valdosta	Georgia	Courtyard	LBA	84
Mt. Olive	New Jersey	Residence Inn	White	123
Somerset	New Jersey	Homewood Suites	White	123
Saratoga Springs	New York	Hilton Garden Inn	White	112
Roanoke Rapids	North Carolina	Hilton Garden Inn	Interstate	147
Hillsboro	Oregon	Courtyard	Inn Ventures	155
Hillsboro	Oregon	Residence Inn	Inn Ventures	122
Hillsboro	Oregon	TownePlace Suites	Inn Ventures	136
Portland	Oregon	Residence Inn	Inn Ventures	258
Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	Residence Inn	White	156
Myrtle Beach	South Carolina	Courtyard	Marriott	135

[Table of Contents](#)

City	State	Brand	Manager	Number of Rooms
Nashville	Tennessee	Homewood Suites	Interstate	121
Arlington	Texas	SpringHill Suites	Western	121
Arlington	Texas	TownePlace Suites	Western	94
Dallas	Texas	SpringHill Suites	Western	148
Fort Worth	Texas	Homewood Suites	Interstate	137
Fort Worth	Texas	Residence Inn	Western	149
Fort Worth	Texas	SpringHill Suites	Marriott	145
Laredo	Texas	Homewood Suites	Western	105
Laredo	Texas	Residence Inn	Western	109
Las Colinas	Texas	TownePlace Suites	Western	135
McAllen	Texas	Hilton Garden Inn	Western	104
Kent	Washington	TownePlace Suites	Inn Ventures	152
Mukilteo	Washington	TownePlace Suites	Inn Ventures	128
Redmond	Washington	Marriott	Marriott	262
Renton	Washington	Hilton Garden Inn	Inn Ventures	150
Total number of rooms				<u>7,041</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company, as the successor to Apple Six, is subject to claims for alleged acts of Apple Six that occurred prior to the Merger. On February 24, 2017, a putative class action, captioned *Wilchfort v. Knight, et al.*, Civil Action No. 17-cv-01046 (E.D.N.Y.), was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York against BRE Select Hotels Corp, as successor-in-interest to Apple REIT Six, Inc., Apple Hospitality REIT, Inc., Apple REIT Seven, Inc., Apple REIT Eight, Inc. (together with Apple REIT Six, Inc. and Apple REIT Seven, Inc., the “Apple REITs”), certain of the Apple REITs’ directors, officers and advisors, and Apple Fund Management, LLC. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of all persons and entities who elected to participate in Apple REITs’ Dividend Reinvestment Plans (“DRIPs”) between July 17, 2007 and the later of the termination and/or suspension of the respective DRIPs or February 12, 2014. The complaint alleges, among other things, that the prices at which Plaintiff and the purported class members purchased additional shares through the DRIPs were artificially inflated and not indicative of the true value of units in the Apple REITs. Plaintiff asserts claims for breach of contract, tortious interference with contract and tortious interference with business expectancy and breach of implied duty of good faith and fair dealing and seeks, among other things, damages and other costs and expenses. The Company believes that any claims against it are without merit, and it intends to defend against them vigorously. At this time, the Company cannot reasonably predict the outcome of this proceeding or provide a reasonable estimate of the possible loss or range of loss due to this proceeding.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable

PART II**Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

There is currently no established public trading market in which our shares of common stock are traded. As of March 27, 2017, there were 100 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, all of which were held by BRE Holdings.

Cash dividends declared per share on our common stock were as follows:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
First quarter	\$ 90,000.00	\$ -
Second quarter	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 90,000.00
Third quarter	\$ 260,000.00	\$ 190,000.00
Fourth quarter	\$ 90,000.00	\$ 100,000.00

Cash dividends declared per share on the Series A Preferred Stock were as follows:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
First quarter	\$0.0333	\$0.0333
Second quarter	\$0.0333	\$0.0333
Third quarter	\$0.0333	\$0.0333
Fourth quarter	\$0.0333	\$0.0333

Distribution Information

Holders of the Series A Preferred Stock are entitled to receive, when, as and if declared by the board of directors of the Company out of any funds legally available, cumulative dividends (compounded quarterly) at a dividend rate of 7.00% per annum on the liquidation preference per share as adjusted from time to time, payable quarterly in cash in arrears on or before the 15th day of each January, April, July and October of each year or, if not a business day, the next succeeding business day, without any interest or other payment in respect of such delay. The dividend rate will increase to 9.00% per annum in the event that dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock have not been paid in cash for any reason for more than six quarters, whether or not consecutive, and 11.00% per annum for any period after the earlier of (i) any change of control and (ii) May 14, 2018.

In addition, in order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we must annually distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (determined before the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains). For federal income tax purposes, distributions we make may consist of ordinary income, capital gains, nontaxable return of capital or a combination of those items. Distributions that exceed our current and accumulated earnings and profits (calculated for tax purposes) constitute a return of capital rather than a dividend, which reduces a stockholder's basis in its shares and will not be taxable to the extent that the distribution equals or is less than the stockholder's basis in the shares. To the extent that a distribution exceeds both current and accumulated earnings and profits and the stockholder's basis in its shares, that distribution will be treated as a gain from the sale or exchange of that stockholder's shares. Every year, we notify our stockholders of the taxable composition of distributions paid during the preceding year.

[Table of Contents](#)

Characterization of Distributions – For income tax purposes, distributions paid consist of ordinary income, capital gains, return of capital or a combination thereof. Distributions paid per share were characterized as follows:

	2016		2015	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>%</u>
Common Stock:				
Ordinary income	\$180,732.10	33.47%	\$374,436.75	98.54%
Return of capital	359,267.90	66.53%	5,563.25	1.46%
Total	<u>\$540,000.00</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$380,000.00</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Series A Preferred Stock:				
Ordinary income (1) (2)	\$ 0.1332	100.00%	\$ 0.1332	100.00%
Total	<u>\$ 0.1332</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$ 0.1332</u>	<u>100.00%</u>

- (1) The fourth quarter Series A Preferred dividend paid on January 15, 2016 is treated as a 2016 distribution for tax purposes.
(2) The fourth quarter Series A Preferred dividend paid on January 17, 2017 is treated as a 2017 distribution for tax purposes.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected financial data for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (Successor), and the period from January 1, 2013 through May 13, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012 (Predecessor). Certain information in the table has been derived from the Company's audited financial statements and notes thereto. This data should be read in conjunction with Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Item 8, the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

(in thousands except share and statistical data)	Successor				Predecessor	
	2016	2015	2014	2013	Period from January 1, through May 13, 2013	2012
Revenues:						
Room revenue	\$268,659	\$268,272	\$258,223	\$ 159,859	\$ 82,063	\$230,623
Other revenue	17,820	18,743	18,298	11,151	6,212	16,771
Reimbursed expenses	-	-	-	-	2,838	7,965
Total revenue	286,479	287,015	276,521	171,010	91,113	255,359
Expenses:						
Hotel operating expenses	156,698	157,076	153,216	94,679	51,728	140,123
Taxes, insurance and other	15,449	14,552	14,725	8,593	4,457	12,518
General and administrative	5,164	5,013	6,850	3,193	2,828	7,613
Merger transaction costs	-	-	-	21,537	67,633	4,037
Reimbursed expenses	-	-	-	-	2,838	7,965
Depreciation	38,507	33,775	27,412	16,359	10,651	30,322
Impairment of investment in real estate	17,694	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on sale of hotel properties	2,342	-	-	-	-	-
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	-	6,116	-	-	-	-
Interest expense, net	32,144	30,704	38,783	24,531	1,439	2,806
Extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans	-	-	4,295	-	-	-
Loss (gain) on derivatives	89	59	735	(34)	-	-
Income tax (benefit) expense	(1,198)	2,409	2,742	826	140	391
Total expenses	266,889	249,704	248,758	169,684	141,714	205,775
Income (loss) from continuing operations	19,590	37,311	27,763	1,326	(50,601)	49,584
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	-	-	(44)	(286)	18	(41)
Net income (loss)	19,590	37,311	27,719	1,040	(50,583)	49,543
Series A Preferred Stock dividends declared	(9,641)	(9,641)	(12,797)	(9,369)	-	-
Net income (loss) available for common stockholder	\$ 9,949	\$ 27,670	\$ 14,922	(\$ 8,329)	(\$ 50,583)	\$ 49,543

[Table of Contents](#)

(in thousands except share and statistical data)	Successor				Predecessor	
	2016	2015	2014	2013	Period from January 1, through May 13, 2013	2012
Per Common Share:						
Income (loss) from continuing operations, after Series A Preferred Stock dividends	\$ 99,490.00	\$ 276,700.00	\$ 149,660.00	(\$ 80,430.00)	(\$ 0.55)	\$ 0.54
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	-	-	(440.00)	(2,860.00)	-	-
Net income (loss) available to common stockholder	\$ 99,490.00	\$ 276,700.00	\$ 149,220.00	(\$ 83,290.00)	(\$ 0.55)	\$ 0.54
Distributions paid per common share	\$ 540,000.00	\$ 380,000.00	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 141,000.00	\$ -	\$ 0.73
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	100	100	100	100	91,270,197	91,142,011
Balance Sheet Data (at end of period):						
Cash	\$ 25,170	\$ 29,137	\$ 22,776	\$ 23,902	\$ 11,051	\$ -
Investment in real estate, net	\$ 857,918	\$ 986,640	\$ 974,833	\$ 959,014	\$ 719,956	\$ 729,108
Total assets	\$ 1,067,084	\$ 1,162,302	\$ 1,182,364	\$ 1,181,583	\$ 741,893	\$ 740,370
Debt and redeemable preferred stock	\$ 930,276	\$ 979,429	\$ 984,613	\$ 976,680	\$ 49,048	\$ 58,417
Stockholder's equity	\$ 122,821	\$ 166,872	\$ 177,202	\$ 192,450	\$ 688,432	\$ 674,647
Net book value per share	\$ 1,228.21	\$ 1,668.72	\$ 1,772.02	\$ 1,924.50	\$ 7.54	\$ 7.40
Other Data:						
Cash flow from (used in):						
Operating activities	\$ 77,572	\$ 80,720	\$ 71,229	\$ 19,371	(\$ 42,191)	\$ 81,176
Investing activities	\$ 35,932	(\$ 60,845)	(\$ 24,415)	(\$ 917,496)	(\$ 1,756)	(\$ 10,852)
Financing activities	(\$ 117,646)	(\$ 48,062)	(\$ 46,400)	\$ 922,027	\$ 54,998	(\$ 70,356)
Number of hotels owned at end of period (including hotels held for sale)						
	60	62	62	66	66	66
Average Daily Rate (ADR) (a) (b) (c)	\$ 135	\$ 134	\$ 126	\$ 123	\$ 116	\$ 116
Occupancy (a) (b) (c)	75%	75%	76%	76%	73%	74%
Revenue per Available Room						
(RevPAR) (a) (b) (c)	\$ 101	\$ 101	\$ 96	\$ 94	\$ 84	\$ 86
Total rooms sold (a)	1,987,184	2,006,637	2,046,198	1,297,229	709,621	1,990,833
Total rooms available (a)	2,647,667	2,661,472	2,681,655	1,704,504	978,777	2,687,918

(a) From continuing operations.

(b) Excludes Homewood Suites Ft. Worth for the period from June 4, 2015 through October 26, 2015 due to the property closure due to flood damage.

(c) Includes hotels sold through the period owned.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements, related notes included thereto and Item 1.A., “Risk Factors,” appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

We are a real estate investment trust (REIT) focused on the ownership of upscale, extended-stay and select-service hotels. Our hotels operate under the Homewood Suites by Hilton, Hilton Garden Inn, Hampton Inn, Hampton Inn & Suites, Courtyard by Marriott, Fairfield Inn by Marriott, Residence Inn by Marriott, SpringHill Suites by Marriott, TownePlace Suites by Marriott and Marriott brands. Our focus is on the ownership of high-quality real estate that generates attractive returns for our investors. As of December 31, 2016, our portfolio consisted of 60 hotels, containing a total of 7,041 rooms diversified among markets in 17 states.

We were formed to invest in income-producing real estate in the United States through our acquisition of Apple Six. On May 14, 2013, we completed the acquisition of Apple Six pursuant to the merger agreement, by and between us, BRE Holdings and Apple Six, pursuant to which Apple Six merged with and into us.

Our strategy is to own primarily premium branded select service hotels, concentrated in markets that offer multiple demand generators and high barriers to entry. We believe that premium branded select service hotels offer attractive returns in their ability to generate high RevPAR levels, combined with an efficient operating model to control costs and generate positive cash flows. All 60 of our hotel properties are Hilton or Marriott branded properties.

We actively monitor the profitability of our properties and aim to maximize stockholder value by evaluating opportunities to acquire or dispose of properties. As such, the composition and size of our hotel portfolio may change materially over time.

Our hotel portfolio experienced continued improvement in room revenue in 2016 as compared to the prior year primarily due to higher ADR across our portfolio, while our operating income declined largely due to increased depreciation expense and impairments of our investment in real estate. Although our hotel performance can be influenced by many factors including local competition, local and general economic conditions in the United States and the performance of individual managers assigned to each hotel, the performance of our hotels as compared to other hotels within their respective local markets, in general, continues to meet our expectations.

We are cautiously optimistic that both the hotel industry and our portfolio will achieve moderate growth in the upcoming quarters, due to forecasted growth in the U.S. economy along with continued expected increased demand versus available supply. U.S. industry-wide RevPAR growth is expected to continue in 2017, though at a lower rate of growth than in 2016. In addition, since 2014 we have renovated 43 of our properties and expect to renovate 12 more during 2017. The resulting property improvements are expected to further improve our financial results through increased room rates at our properties.

Recent Events

In January 2017 we sold the Fairfield Inn – Huntsville, Alabama, TownePlace Suites – Arlington, Texas, Springhill Suites – Clearwater, Florida and TownePlace Suites – Las Colinas, Texas. In February 2017, we sold the Courtyard – Albany, Georgia. We expect to sell the Springhill Sites – Arlington, Texas in March 2017.

In August 2016, we sold the Marriott – Boulder, Colorado and the Hilton Garden Inn – Fredericksburg, Virginia properties (“the Sold Properties”).

[Table of Contents](#)

On June 5, 2015, we evacuated and temporarily closed the Homewood Suites in Fort Worth, Texas due to damage incurred from extensive flooding in the area during late May 2015. Remediation work was started immediately, and the hotel reopened on October 27, 2015. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded a total estimated loss due to property damage of \$1.7 million, net of estimated property insurance recoveries of \$8.6 million for this hotel and three other properties in Texas also impacted by the May 2015 flooding but which did not result in the temporary closures of these properties. Insurance receivable related to fiscal year 2015 property insurance claims totaled \$5.1 million and \$6.5 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We collected \$1.4 million of the insurance receivable during 2016. The estimated insurance recoveries are preliminary, subject to final settlement of the respective claims with our insurance providers.

Key Indicators of Operating Performance

We use a variety of operating information and metrics to evaluate the operating performance of our hotels. These key indicators include financial information that is prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP, along with other non-U.S. GAAP financial measures. In addition, we use industry standard statistical information and comparative data, some of which may not be financial in nature. In evaluating financial condition and operating performance, the most important indicators that we focus on are:

- **Occupancy** – Occupancy represents the total number of hotel rooms sold in a given period divided by the total number of hotel rooms available, and is a key measure of the utilization of our hotels' available capacity. Occupancy is a major driver of room revenue, as well as other revenue categories including food and beverage revenues. We use occupancy as a primary measure of demand at each of our hotels during a given period of time. Occupancy also guides us in determining achievable levels of ADR. Fluctuations in occupancy are accompanied by fluctuations in most categories of variable operating costs, such as utility cost and certain labor costs such as housekeeping, resulting in varying levels of hotel profitability.
- **Average Daily Rate (ADR)** – ADR represents the average room price at a hotel or group of hotels and is computed by dividing total hotel room revenues by the total number of rooms sold in a given period. ADR trends provide information concerning the customer base and pricing environment at our hotels. Increases in ADR typically result in higher operating margins and overall profitability, since variable hotel expenses do not increase correspondingly. As a result, ADR trends are carefully monitored to manage pricing levels.
- **Revenue per Available Room (RevPAR)** – RevPAR is the product of occupancy and ADR. It does not include non-room revenues such as food and beverage revenue or other ancillary revenues for guest services provided by the hotel. We use RevPAR to identify trend information for comparable properties and regions.

RevPAR Index is another commonly used metric in the lodging industry, and measures each hotel's market share in relation to its competitive set with 100 being the average (the index excludes hotels under renovation) and is provided by Smith Travel Research, Inc.[®], an independent company that tracks historical hotel performance in most markets throughout the world. The RevPAR Index for a particular hotel is calculated as the quotient of (1) the subject hotel's RevPAR divided by (2) the average RevPAR of the hotels in the subject's competitive set, multiplied by 100.

One critical component of this calculation is the determination of a hotel's competitive set, which consists of a small group of hotels within its relevant market. We work with each hotel's management company to assess and agree on each hotel's competitive set. Many factors are involved in determining each hotel's competitive set, including geographic location, brand affiliation, and comparable service levels provided.

[Table of Contents](#)**Results of Operations****Comparable Operating Metrics**

The follow table reflects key operating metrics for our 60 hotels owned as of December 31, 2016 (“Comparable Properties”). We define Comparable Properties as the results generated by the 60 hotels owned as of the end of the reporting period.

Statistical Data	For the year ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Occupancy	74.9%	75.3%	76.4%
ADR	\$134.52	\$132.60	\$124.95
RevPAR	\$100.77	\$ 99.85	\$ 95.42
RevPAR Index	117	117	119

Results of Operations for Years 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

	2016	Percent of Revenue	2015	Percent of Revenue
Total hotel revenue	\$286,479	100%	\$287,015	100%
Hotel operating expenses	156,698	55%	157,076	55%
Taxes, insurance and other expense	15,449	5%	14,552	5%
General and administrative expense	5,164	2%	5,013	2%
Depreciation	38,507		33,775	
Impairment of investment in real estate	17,694		-	
Loss on sale of hotel properties	2,342		-	
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	-		(6,116)	
Interest expense, net	32,144		30,704	
Loss on derivatives	89		59	
Income tax (benefit) expense	(1,198)		2,409	

Revenues

Our principal source of revenue is hotel revenue, consisting of room and other related revenue. Total revenue for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$286.5 million and \$287.0 million, respectively. The decline is due to \$5.6 million less in revenue from the Sold Properties disposed of in August 2016 compared to revenues earned in 2015. This decline was substantially offset by a 1.5% increase in ADR at Comparable Properties in 2016 compared to 2015. The ADR increase was due to our ability to increase room rates, in particular at our newly renovated properties, along with overall solid demand within the upscale limited service segment of the industry.

Expenses

Hotel operating expenses consist of direct room expense, hotel administrative expense, sales and marketing expense, utilities expense, repair and maintenance expense, franchise fees and management fees. Hotel operating expenses for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 totaled \$156.7 million and \$157.1 million, respectively, representing, 55% of our total hotel revenue for each period. Expense reductions resulting from the Sold Properties, along with lower utility costs, were largely offset by higher payroll and benefits costs at our Comparable Properties. Certain operating costs, such as management costs, certain utility costs and minimum supply and maintenance costs, are relatively fixed in nature. We have implemented wide-scale water efficiency and energy savings projects at many of our properties within the last 18 months, which has resulted in lower utility expenses. Although operating expenses generally increase as occupancy and revenue increase, we have and expect to continue to work with our management companies to reduce costs as a percentage of revenue where possible while maintaining quality service levels at each property.

[Table of Contents](#)

Taxes, insurance, and other expenses for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$15.4 million and \$14.6 million, respectively, representing 5% of total hotel revenue in each year. We continued to experience increased real estate taxes due to both regular yearly increase and increased assessments in selected markets, and also incurred various one-time taxes due to the contributions of certain investments in real estate from the REIT to certain TRS during the year.

General and administrative expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$5.2 million and \$5.0 million, respectively, or 2% of total hotel revenue in each year. The principal components of general and administrative expense are advisory fees and expenses, legal fees, accounting fees, and reporting expenses. Expenses increased modestly in 2016 due to increased advisory and legal fees associated with our contributions of certain investments in real estate from the REIT to certain of our TRS during the year.

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$38.5 million and \$33.8 million, respectively. Depreciation expense represents expenses incurred due to depreciation of the 60 hotels included in our operations and related personal property for their respective periods owned. Depreciation expense increased in 2016 compared to the prior year as a result of increased in-service assets due the completion of capital projects over the last 30 months.

Impairment of investment in real estate for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$17.7 million and \$0, respectively. During 2016, we entered into letters of intent, and ultimately sold two properties in 2016 which resulted in \$8.5 million in impairment charges as a result of measuring these properties at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell. In addition during 2016, we committed to sell an additional six properties and classified them as held for sale as of December 31, 2016, resulting in \$9.2 million in impairment charges on four of those properties, as a result of measuring these properties at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell.

Loss on sale of hotel properties for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$2.3 million and \$0, respectively, due to the sale of the Sold Properties in August 2016.

Loss on disposals of investment in real estate for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$0 and \$6.1 million, respectively. We recorded loss on disposals of investments of real estate totaling \$6.1 million in 2015, of which \$4.4 million was due to disposal of furniture, fixtures, and equipment in connection with our capital improvement and renovation plans, and \$1.7 million was due to property damage at several of our properties in Texas as a result of flooding. We incurred no such losses during 2016.

Interest expense, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$32.1 million and \$30.7 million, respectively. The increase in 2016 compared to 2015 was due to increasing interest rates, as our effective interest rate increased by 28 basis points year over year, which offset the savings from the lower debt balance for the last 4 months of the year due to the sale of the Sold Properties. As of December 31, 2016, we had total debt outstanding, including debt attributable to assets of hotels held for sale, of \$793.1 million compared to \$847.0 million at December 31, 2015. We capitalized interest of \$0.4 million for both of the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in conjunction with capital improvements and hotel renovations.

Income tax (benefit) expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was (\$1.2 million) and \$2.4 million, respectively. Income taxes decreased in 2016 primarily due to a \$0.9 million income tax benefit resulting from our contributions of certain investments in real estate from the REIT to certain of our TRS during the year.

[Table of Contents](#)**Results of Operations for Years 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):**

	<u>2015</u>	<u>Percent of Revenue</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>Percent of Revenue</u>
Total hotel revenue	\$287,015	100%	\$276,521	100%
Hotel operating expenses	157,076	55%	153,216	55%
Taxes, insurance and other expense	14,552	5%	14,725	5%
General and administrative expense	5,013	2%	6,850	2%
Depreciation	33,775		27,412	
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	6,116		-	
Interest expense, net	30,704		38,783	
Extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans	-		4,295	
Loss on derivatives	59		735	
Income tax expense	2,409		2,742	

Revenues

Our principal source of revenue is hotel revenue, consisting of room and other related revenue. Total revenue from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$287.0 million and \$276.5 million, respectively. Our increase in revenues was largely due to a 5.9% increase in ADR in 2015 compared to 2014, which was due to our ability to increase rates, in particular at our newly renovated properties, along with overall strong demand within the upscale limited service segment of the industry. Occupancy decreased by 1.2% in 2015 from the prior year largely due to out-of-service rooms at hotels undergoing renovations. We work closely with our third-party managers to monitor trends in ADR and occupancy, and changed managers for several hotels during 2015 where we believe we have an opportunity to increase revenues.

Expenses

Hotel operating expenses consist of direct room expense, hotel administrative expense, sales and marketing expense, utilities expense, repair and maintenance expense, franchise fees and management fees. Hotel operating expenses from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 totaled \$157.1 million and \$153.2 million, respectively, representing 55% of total hotel revenue in each year. Results for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 reflect the impact of increases in revenues at most of our hotels, along with our efforts to control costs. Certain operating costs, such as management costs, certain utility costs and minimum supply and maintenance costs are relatively fixed in nature. We work closely with our third-party management companies to monitor hotel operating expenses, and meet regularly with them to ensure fluctuations in operating expenses correspond with forecasted occupancy and revenues while maintaining our high standards of quality and service at each hotel.

Taxes, insurance, and other expenses from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$14.6 million and \$14.7 million, respectively, representing 5% of total hotel revenue in each year. We continued to experience increased real estate taxes due to both regular yearly increase and increased assessments in selected markets, which was offset in 2015 by moderate declines in both insurance and other taxes.

General and administrative expense from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$5.0 million and \$6.9 million, respectively, or 2% of total hotel revenue in each year. The principal components of general and administrative expense are advisory fees and expenses, legal fees, accounting fees, and reporting expenses. The 2014 total included \$3.2 million of advisory fees and expenses incurred in connection with a debt refinancing transaction in December 2014 that were not eligible for capitalization under generally accepted accounting principles. Excluding that non-recurring cost, general and administrative expense increased by \$1.3 million in 2015 compared to 2014, largely due to increased advisory fees for operations support in order to manage our growing revenues and operations of our hotels.

[Table of Contents](#)

Depreciation expense from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$33.8 million and \$27.4 million, respectively. Depreciation expense represents expenses incurred due to depreciation of the 62 hotels included in our continuing operations and related personal property for their respective periods owned. Depreciation expense increased in 2015 compared to the prior year as a result of increased in-service assets due the completion of capital projects over the last 18 months.

Loss on disposals of investment in real estate for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$6.1 million and \$0, respectively. We recorded loss on disposals of investments of real estate totaling \$6.1 million in 2015, of which \$4.4 million was due to disposal of furniture, fixtures, and equipment in connection with our capital improvement and renovation plans, and \$1.7 million was due to property damage at several of our properties in Texas as a result of flooding.

Interest expense from continuing operations, net for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$30.7 million and \$38.8 million, respectively. In 2014, we incurred \$4.3 million in extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine debt, which primarily consisted of the acceleration of deferred loan fee amortization costs due to the loan payoff in December 2014. The remaining decrease in 2015 compared to 2014 was due to the lower interest rate on the new debt we obtained in December 2014. As of December 31, 2015, we had total debt outstanding of \$847.0 million compared to \$847.5 million at December 31, 2014. We capitalized interest of \$0.4 million and \$0 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, in conjunction with capital improvements and hotel renovations.

Income tax expense for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. Income taxes decreased in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in taxable income at our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Non-U.S. GAAP Financial Measures

The two key non-U.S. GAAP financial measures that we use to evaluate our performance are EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA.

EBITDA – EBITDA is defined as net income or loss excluding interest, income taxes, and depreciation and amortization. We believe EBITDA is a useful measure to evaluate operating performance between periods, as it removes the impact of our capital structure (interest expense) and asset base (depreciation and amortization) from our operating results.

Adjusted EBITDA – We further adjust EBITDA for certain additional items, including impairment of investment in real estate, extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans, gain or loss on sale of hotel properties, loss on disposals of investments in real estate, derivatives and merger transaction costs. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA provides additional useful supplemental information about our ongoing operating performance.

Table of Contents

The following table is a reconciliation of our GAAP net income to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Net income (1)	\$ 19,590	\$ 37,311	\$ 27,719
Depreciation and amortization	38,507	33,775	27,412
Interest expense, net	32,144	30,704	38,783
Income tax (benefit) expense	(1,198)	2,409	2,742
EBITDA	89,043	104,199	96,656
Extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans	-	-	4,295
Impairment of investment in real estate	17,694	-	-
Loss on sale of hotels properties	2,342	-	150
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	-	6,116	-
Loss on derivatives	89	59	735
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 109,168</u>	<u>\$ 110,374</u>	<u>\$ 101,836</u>

(1) Year ended December 31, 2014 includes net loss from discontinued operations

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We anticipate that our cash flows from the sources listed below will provide adequate capital for the next 12 months for all anticipated uses, including all scheduled principal and interest payments on our outstanding indebtedness, ongoing capital commitments to fund capital improvements, dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, distributions necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT and other capital obligations associated with conducting our business. Our \$776.5 million mortgage loan matures in December 2017. However, we plan to exercise the second of three one-year extensions permissible per the loan agreement.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Operating cash flow from our hotel properties is our principal source of liquidity. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$31.2 million of cash and restricted cash as compared to \$35.3 million at December 31, 2015.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2016, net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$77.6 million compared to \$80.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decreased cash flows from operating activities were primarily due a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses as of December 31, 2016 compared to December 31, 2015 due to the timing of payments of operating expenses.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2016, net cash flows provided by investing activities were \$35.9 million compared to \$60.8 million cash flows used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015. Cash provided by investing activities in 2016 was higher compared to 2015 due to the \$74.6 million we received from the sale of the Sold Properties in 2016, along with reduced amounts spent on capital improvements in 2016 compared to 2015.

[Table of Contents](#)

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

For the year ended December 31, 2016, net cash flows used in financing activities were \$117.6 million, compared to \$48.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Cash used in financing activities in 2016 was higher compared to 2015 due to our \$53.5 million in mortgage debt payments made in 2016 in connection with our sale of the Sold Properties in August 2016, along with an increase of \$16.0 million in dividends paid to our common stockholder in 2016 compared to 2015.

Mortgage Loans

On December 3, 2014, certain of our indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Borrowers”) with commercial lenders (collectively, the “Lenders”) entered into a loan agreement, pursuant to which the Borrowers obtained an \$830 million mortgage loan from the Lenders (the “Loan”). The Loan is secured by first-priority, cross-collateralized mortgage liens on 59 of the 60 properties owned or ground-leased by certain of our subsidiaries, all related personal property, reserves, a pledge of all income received by the Borrowers with respect to the properties, a pledge of the ownership interests in the operating lessee and a security interest in a cash management account. A portion of the proceeds from the Loan were used to repay \$763.9 million of mortgage and mezzanine loans outstanding which were obtained in connection with the Merger. In addition, \$47.5 million of the loan proceeds were used to redeem approximately 25% of the outstanding 7% Series A Preferred Stock from stockholders on a pro-rata basis on December 31, 2014, consisting of \$46.8 million of shares redeemed and \$0.7 million of accrued dividends. The mortgage loan requires interest payments monthly. We exercised the first of three one-year extensions permissible per the loan agreement, which extended the maturity to December 9, 2017. We plan to exercise the second of the three one-year extensions permissible per the loan agreement.

As of December 31, 2016, 59 of our 60 properties secured \$776.5 million of cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted mortgage debt, and the allocated loan amounts relating to the six hotels held for sale as of fiscal year end was \$35.9 million. In addition, as of December 31, 2016, one remaining property secured a separate mortgage loan of \$16.6 million. See the notes to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding our mortgage loans.

During the year ended December 31, 2016, we sold two hotels and received sales proceeds, net of selling costs, of \$74.6 million, which we used to repay \$53.5 million of the Loan. In January and February 2017, we sold five hotels and received sales proceeds, net of selling costs, of \$43.8 million, which we used to repay \$27.5 million of the Loan.

We had an effective interest rate of 3.34% for our debt during 2016, compared to 3.06% during 2015. The increase was due to increasing LIBOR rates in 2016. As of December 31, 2016, our weighted average interest rate on our long-term debt was 3.53% compared to 3.17% as of December 31, 2015. Future scheduled principal payments of debt obligations (assuming exercise of second extension option under the loan agreement and the sale of the hotels held for sale as of December 31, 2016 during the fiscal year 2017) as of December 31, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

2017	\$ 36,335
2018	741,140
2019	510
2020	533
2021	562
Thereafter	14,036
Total	<u>\$ 793,116</u>

Due to the sale of the hotels described in Note 5 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements, \$2.6 million of the fiscal year 2018 principal payment presented above was repaid in the first quarter of 2017 in order to comply with the debt yield as required under the terms of the loan agreement.

[Table of Contents](#)

Capital Expenditures

We have ongoing capital commitments to fund capital improvements. We are required, under all of the hotel franchise agreements and under our loan agreements, to make a percentage of the gross revenues from each hotel available for the repair, replacement and refurbishing of furniture, fixtures, and equipment at such hotel, provided that under the loan agreements such amounts may be used for certain capital expenditures with respect to the hotels. Pursuant to the Loan, we must deposit monthly in a lender escrow an amount equal to the sum of 4-5% of total revenue, excluding revenue from the Marriott managed hotels, per the terms of our franchise and management agreements. These funds can then be used for capital enhancements to the properties. We spent \$39.0 million in 2016 in capital improvements across our portfolio of properties, and expect to spend approximately another \$15.0 million in 2017. We expect to fund these capital expenditures primarily from funds available in our lender escrow accounts.

Distributions

To qualify as a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our ordinary income. We intend to adhere to these distribution and the other requirements to qualify for REIT status.

BRE Holdings owns 100% of our issued and outstanding common stock. We paid the following dividends on our common stock in 2016 and 2015:

2016		2015	
Date Paid	Per Share	Date Paid	Per Share
February 17, 2016	\$ 90,000.00	May 13, 2015	\$ 90,000.00
May 11, 2016	\$ 100,000.00	August 17, 2015	\$ 190,000.00
August 11, 2016	\$ 110,000.00	December 28, 2015	\$ 100,000.00
September 20, 2016	\$ 150,000.00		
November 15, 2016	\$ 90,000.00		

On February 10, 2017, our Board of Directors declared a dividend on our common stock of \$100,000 per share, which was paid on February 13, 2017.

We paid the following dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock in 2016 and 2015:

2016		2015	
Date Paid	Per Share	Date Paid	Per Share
January 15, 2016	\$ 0.0333	January 15, 2015	\$ 0.0333
April 15, 2016	\$ 0.0333	April 15, 2015	\$ 0.0333
July 15, 2016	\$ 0.0333	July 15, 2015	\$ 0.0333
October 17, 2016	\$ 0.0333	October 15, 2015	\$ 0.0333

On December 23, 2016, our Board of Directors declared a dividend on our Series A Preferred Stock of \$0.0333 per share, paid on January 17, 2017 to stockholders of record on January 1, 2017. Dividends for the Series A Preferred Stock are anticipated to be paid quarterly in January, April, July and October each year.

Repurchases

We and our affiliates and/or our stockholder and its respective affiliates, may from time to time repurchase our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock or debt through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Purchases or retirement of our Series A Preferred Stock or debt, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions, and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

[Table of Contents](#)

Contractual Obligations

The following is a summary of our significant contractual obligations as of December 31, 2016 (in thousands):

Obligations and Commitments	Total	Amount of Commitments Expiring per Period					
		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter
Mortgages payable (a) (c)	\$ 793,116	\$ 36,335	\$ 741,140	\$ 510	\$ 533	\$ 562	\$ 14,036
Interest on mortgages payable (a) (b)	57,109	28,024	26,390	739	716	688	552
Ground leases	366	107	107	107	45	-	-
Construction projects	6,424	6,424	-	-	-	-	-
Total contractual commitments	<u>\$ 857,015</u>	<u>\$ 70,890</u>	<u>\$ 767,636</u>	<u>\$ 1,356</u>	<u>\$ 1,294</u>	<u>\$ 1,250</u>	<u>\$ 14,588</u>

- (a) Assumes exercise of second extension option under the Loan and the sale of the hotels held for sale as of December 31, 2016 during the fiscal year 2017.
- (b) For variable interest rate debt, interest obligations are estimated based on the LIBOR interest rate as of December 31, 2016.
- (c) Due to the sale of the hotels described in Note 5 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements, \$2.6 million of the fiscal year 2018 principal payment presented above was repaid in January 2017 in order to comply with the debt yield as required under the terms of the Loan.

Impact of Inflation

Operators of hotels, in general, possess the ability to adjust room rates daily to reflect the effects of inflation. Competitive pressures may, however, limit the operators' ability to raise room rates. Currently, we are not experiencing any material impact from inflation.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive insurance, including general liability, property, rental loss and umbrella liability coverage on all of our hotels. In addition, we carry flood coverage on certain hotels when available on commercially reasonable terms for hotels where we believe such coverage is warranted or required under the terms of our debt agreements. We have selected policy specifications and insured limits which we believe to be appropriate given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice, and as such believe our hotels are adequately insured.

Seasonality

Demand in the lodging industry is impacted by recurring seasonal patterns. For properties located in non-resort markets, demand is generally lower in the winter months due to decreased travel and higher in the spring and summer months during peak travel season. Accordingly, we expect that we will have lower revenue, operating income and cash flow in the first and fourth quarters, and higher revenue, operating income and cash flow in the second and third quarters. To the extent that cash flow from operations is insufficient during any quarter, due to temporary or seasonal fluctuations in revenue, we expect to utilize cash on hand or, if necessary, any available other financing sources to make distributions to stockholders.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We have provided a summary of our significant accounting policies in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this

[Table of Contents](#)

filing. We believe that the following accounting policies affect the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Impairment of Investment in Real Estate - We periodically assess whether there are any indicators that the value of real estate assets may be impaired. Our investment in real estate is reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Indicators of impairment include: (1) a property with current or potential losses from operations, (2) when it becomes more likely than not that a property will be sold before the end of its previously estimated useful life or (3) when events, trends, contingencies or changes in circumstances indicate that a triggering event has occurred and an asset's carrying value may not be recoverable. We monitor our properties on an ongoing basis by reviewing financial performance and consider each property individually for purposes of reviewing for indicators of impairment. As many indicators of impairment are subjective, such as general economic and market declines, we also prepare a quarterly quantitative analysis for each of our properties to assist with our evaluation of impairment indicators. The analysis compares each property's current year actual and forecasted occupancy and RevPAR compared to the prior year.

If events or circumstances change, such as the operating performance of a property declines substantially for an extended period of time, our carrying value for a particular property may not be recoverable and in such instances an impairment loss may be recorded. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is determined by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flow expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying value of such assets exceeds such cash flows, the assets are considered impaired. Impairment losses are measured as the difference between the asset's fair value and its carrying value. Fair value is determined by using our best estimate of the discounted net cash flows over the remaining life of the asset, or other indicators of fair value.

Goodwill - Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, and is characterized by the intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition. In accordance with accounting guidance related to goodwill and other intangible assets, goodwill is not amortized, but instead reviewed for impairment at least annually. We perform our annual testing for impairment of goodwill during the fourth quarter of each year and in certain situations between those annual dates if indicators of impairment are present. The impairment analysis for goodwill is performed at the reporting unit level using a two-step approach. The first step is a comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit, determined using an income approach and validated by a market approach, to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, the second step quantifies any impairment write-down by comparing the current implied value of goodwill to the recorded goodwill balance.

Income Taxes - We have elected to be taxed as a REIT, under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended, beginning with our short taxable year ended December 31, 2012. In order to qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute at least 90% of our adjusted taxable income to our stockholders, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. We intend to adhere to these requirements to qualify for REIT status, and assuming we do qualify for taxation as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to federal income taxes to the extent we distribute substantially all of our taxable income to our stockholders. However, our TRS will generally be subject to federal, state, and local income taxes and the consolidated income tax provision includes those taxes.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to risks associated with market changes in interest rates. At December 31, 2016, our floating rate debt consisted of \$776.5 million in mortgage debt ("Variable Mortgage Debt") acquired in December 2014. No principal payments are required on the Variable Mortgage Debt, which matures in December 2017 with two additional one-year extension options for the borrower, subject to certain conditions. The Variable Mortgage

[Table of Contents](#)

Debt is in U.S. dollars and bears interest at LIBOR plus a fixed margin rate of 2.80%. Accordingly, we are vulnerable to changes in U.S. dollar based short-term interest rates, specifically LIBOR.

We acquired one interest rate cap, as required by the terms of the one-year loan extension, considered to be a derivative instrument. The agreement caps the base interest rate on the Variable Mortgage Debt at 8.37%. We did not designate the derivative as a hedge for accounting purposes and, accordingly, account for the interest rate cap at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet in other assets with adjustments to fair value recorded in loss on derivatives in the consolidated statements of operations.

If the prevailing LIBOR on our Variable Mortgage Debt were to increase or decrease by 1.00%, or 100 basis points, the increase or decrease in interest expense on our Variable Mortgage Debt would increase or decrease future earnings by approximately \$7.8 million annually excluding any impact of the interest rate cap if applicable. If interest rates were to change gradually over time, the impact would be spread over time.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholder of
BRE Select Hotels Corp
New York, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of BRE Select Hotels Corp and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholder’s equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of BRE Select Hotels Corp and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Miami, Florida
March 27, 2017

[Table of Contents](#)

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Investment in real estate, net of accumulated depreciation of \$93,423 and \$73,915, respectively	\$ 857,918	\$ 986,640
Hotels held for sale	43,096	-
Cash	25,170	29,137
Restricted cash	5,996	6,171
Due from third-party managers, net	4,518	4,961
Insurance receivable	5,067	6,496
Prepaid expenses	2,794	1,729
Goodwill	116,470	126,377
Deferred tax assets	6,051	632
Other assets	4	159
TOTAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 1,067,084</u>	<u>\$ 1,162,302</u>
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	12,729	14,852
Due to third-party managers, net	1,113	1,149
Mortgages payable	757,245	842,269
Mortgages payable related to assets of hotels held for sale	35,871	-
Other liabilities	145	-
TOTAL LIABILITIES	<u>807,103</u>	<u>858,270</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$1.90 initial liquidation preference, 120,000,000 shares authorized; 72,382,848 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015	137,160	137,160
STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized; 100 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015	-	-
Additional paid-in capital	122,821	166,872
TOTAL STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY	<u>122,821</u>	<u>166,872</u>
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY	<u>\$ 1,067,084</u>	<u>\$ 1,162,302</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share data)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
REVENUE			
Room revenue	\$ 268,659	\$ 268,272	\$ 258,223
Other revenue	17,820	18,743	18,298
Total revenue	286,479	287,015	276,521
EXPENSES			
Operating expense	65,437	66,190	62,836
Hotel administrative expense	26,260	25,370	25,350
Sales and marketing	22,311	22,278	21,570
Utilities	8,995	9,629	9,969
Repair and maintenance	10,841	10,739	10,606
Franchise fees	13,276	13,299	12,799
Management fees	9,578	9,571	10,086
Taxes, insurance and other	15,449	14,552	14,725
General and administrative	5,164	5,013	6,850
Depreciation expense	38,507	33,775	27,412
Total expenses	215,818	210,416	202,203
Impairment of investment in real estate	(17,694)	-	-
Loss on sale of hotel properties	(2,342)	-	-
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	-	(6,116)	-
Operating income	50,625	70,483	74,318
Interest expense, net	(32,144)	(30,704)	(38,783)
Extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans	-	-	(4,295)
Loss on derivatives	(89)	(59)	(735)
Income from continuing operations before income tax benefit (expense)	18,392	39,720	30,505
Income tax benefit (expense)	1,198	(2,409)	(2,742)
Income from continuing operations	19,590	37,311	27,763
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	-	-	(44)
Net income	19,590	37,311	27,719
Series A Preferred Stock dividends declared	(9,641)	(9,641)	(12,797)
Net income available for common stockholder	\$ 9,949	\$ 27,670	\$ 14,922
Basic and diluted net income per common share			
From continuing operations, after Series A Preferred Stock dividends	\$ 99,490.00	\$ 276,700.00	\$ 149,660.00
From discontinued operations	-	-	(440.00)
Total basic and diluted net income per common share available to common stockholder	\$ 99,490.00	\$ 276,700.00	\$ 149,220.00
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	100	100	100

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Successor						
	Common Stock		Series B Preferred Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Distributions Greater than Net Income	Total Stockholder's Equity
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount			
Balance at December 31, 2013	100	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ 192,450	\$ -	\$ 192,450
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	27,719	27,719
Cash dividends declared and paid to common stockholder (\$300,000 per share)	-	-	-	-	(2,281)	(27,719)	(30,000)
Preferred dividends earned (\$0.1332 per share)	-	-	-	-	(12,967)	-	(12,967)
Balance at December 31, 2014	100	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ 177,202	\$ -	\$ 177,202
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	37,311	37,311
Cash dividends declared and paid to common stockholder (\$380,000 per share)	-	-	-	-	(689)	(37,311)	(38,000)
Preferred dividends earned (\$0.1332 per share)	-	-	-	-	(9,641)	-	(9,641)
Balance at December 31, 2015	100	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ 166,872	\$ -	\$ 166,872
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	19,590	19,590
Cash dividends declared and paid to common stockholder (\$540,000 per share)	-	-	-	-	(34,410)	(19,590)	(54,000)
Preferred dividends earned (\$0.1332 per share)	-	-	-	-	(9,641)	-	(9,641)
Balance at December 31, 2016	100	\$ -	-	\$ -	\$ 122,821	\$ -	\$ 122,821

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Year ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 19,590	\$ 37,311	\$ 27,719
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	38,507	33,775	27,412
Impairment of investment in real estate	17,694	-	-
Loss on sale of hotel properties	2,342	-	150
Loss on disposals of investment in real estate	-	6,116	-
Fair value adjustment of interest rate cap	89	59	735
Amortization of deferred financing costs	4,678	5,054	5,464
Extinguishment of mortgages payable and mezzanine loans	-	-	4,295
Expense of financing fees for mortgage loan	-	-	3,217
Deferred income taxes	(5,419)	(46)	(113)
Other non-cash expenses	(29)	(29)	(29)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Decrease (increase) in due to/from third-party managers, net	407	(628)	1,657
Decrease (increase) in insurance receivable	1,429	(1,742)	-
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,202)	278	2,399
(Decrease) increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(659)	572	(1,677)
Increase in other liabilities	145	-	-
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>77,572</u>	<u>80,720</u>	<u>71,229</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Capital improvements	(38,983)	(61,112)	(34,234)
Proceeds from sale of assets	74,557	-	9,380
Property insurance proceeds	358	267	439
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	<u>35,932</u>	<u>(60,845)</u>	<u>(24,415)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net proceeds from borrowings on mortgage payable and mezzanine loans	-	-	830,000
Payments of mortgage debt	(53,916)	(421)	(600,402)
Payments of mezzanine debt	-	-	(175,000)
Financing fees	-	-	(10,218)
Payment for interest rate cap	(89)	-	(328)
Redemption of Series A Preferred Stock	-	-	(46,835)
Dividends paid to Series A Preferred stockholders	(9,641)	(9,641)	(13,617)
Dividends paid to common stockholder	(54,000)	(38,000)	(30,000)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(117,646)</u>	<u>(48,062)</u>	<u>(46,400)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(4,142)	(28,187)	414
Cash and restricted cash, beginning of period	<u>35,308</u>	<u>63,495</u>	<u>63,081</u>
Cash and restricted cash, end of period	<u>\$ 31,166</u>	<u>\$ 35,308</u>	<u>\$ 63,495</u>
Supplemental Cash Flow Information, including Non-Cash Activities:			
Interest paid	\$ 27,776	\$ 25,975	\$ 34,128
Taxes paid	\$ 5,151	\$ 2,498	\$ 3,184
Accrued capital improvements	\$ 5,055	\$ 6,624	\$ 11,284
Accrued 7% Series A Preferred Stock dividends	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,410	\$ 2,410
Insurance receivable for loss due to property damage	\$ -	\$ 4,754	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Organization

BRE Select Hotels Corp, together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Company”), is a Delaware corporation that made an election, through the filing of Form 1120-REIT for 2012, to qualify as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, for federal income tax purposes. The Company was formed on November 28, 2012 to invest in income-producing real estate in the United States through the acquisition of Apple REIT Six, Inc. (“Apple Six”) on behalf of BRE Select Hotels Holdings LP (“BRE Holdings”), a Delaware limited partnership and an affiliate of the Company. All of the common stock of the Company is owned by BRE Holdings, which is an affiliate of Blackstone Real Estate Partners VII L.P. (the “Sponsor”). The acquisition of Apple Six (the “Merger”) was completed on May 14, 2013 (the “Acquisition Date”). As of December 31, 2016, the Company owned 60 hotels located in 17 states with an aggregate of 7,041 rooms.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include all of the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Basis of Presentation - The Company was determined to be the acquirer for accounting purposes and, therefore, the Merger was accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting. Accordingly, the purchase price of the Merger was allocated to the Company’s assets and liabilities based upon their estimated fair values at the Acquisition Date.

Use of Estimates - The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications - Certain amounts in the 2015 and 2014 consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 presentation. Certain hotel telecommunication and information systems expenses in the consolidated statements of operations are now included within hotel administrative expense. Certain revenue management expenses in the consolidated statements of operations are now included within sales and marketing expense. Deferred tax assets have been reclassified from other assets in the consolidated balance sheet and statements of cash flows to conform to the 2016 presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported operating income, total assets, or cash flows provided by operating activities.

Cash - Cash primarily consists of cash in banks. The Company has deposits in excess of \$250,000 within single financial institutions that are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company believes it mitigates this risk by depositing with major financial institutions.

Restricted Cash - Restricted cash consists of deposits held in escrow for the payment of certain required repairs, capital improvements and property taxes pursuant to the terms of the Company’s mortgages payable, as well as a repairs and improvements reserve required by the Marriott International Inc. or its affiliates (“Marriott”) management agreements.

The following table provides detail regarding cash and restricted cash that sums to the total of such amounts presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows (in thousands).

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash	\$25,170	\$29,137	\$22,776
Restricted cash	5,996	6,171	40,719
Total cash and restricted cash	<u>\$31,166</u>	<u>\$35,308</u>	<u>\$63,495</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

Due from Third-party Managers, net - Due from third-party managers, net, represents the net working capital advanced to and held by the hotel management companies for operation of the hotels.

Due to Third-party Managers, net - Due to third-party managers, net, represents management fees due in excess of the net working capital advanced to and held by the hotel management companies for operation of the hotels.

Investment in Real Estate and Related Depreciation - Real estate is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Repair and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred while significant improvements, renovations, and replacements that extend the useful life of the real estate asset are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated useful life of the real estate asset. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the average estimated useful lives of the assets, which are 39 years for buildings, 10 to 15 years for land and building improvements and three to seven years for furniture and equipment.

The Company considers expenditures to be capital in nature based on the following criteria: (1) for a single asset, the cost must be at least \$500, including all normal and necessary costs to place the asset in service, and the useful life must be at least one year; (2) for group purchases of 10 or more identical assets, the unit cost for each asset must be at least \$50, including all normal and necessary costs to place the asset in service, and the useful life must be at least one year; and (3) for major repairs to a single asset, the repair must be at least \$2,500 and the useful life of the asset must be substantially extended.

Impairment of Investment in Real Estate - The Company periodically assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of real estate assets may be impaired. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. Indicators of impairment include: (1) a property with current or potential losses from operations, (2) when it becomes more likely than not that a property will be sold before the end of its previously estimated useful life or (3) when events, trends, contingencies or changes in circumstances indicate that a triggering event has occurred and an asset's carrying value may not be recoverable. The Company monitors its properties on an ongoing basis by reviewing financial performance and considers each property individually for purposes of reviewing for indicators of impairment. As many indicators of impairment are subjective, such as general economic and market declines, the Company also prepares a quarterly quantitative analysis for each of its properties to assist with its evaluation of impairment indicators. The analysis compares each property's current year actual and forecasted occupancy and revenue per available room ("RevPAR") compared to the prior year.

If events or circumstances change, such as the operating performance of a property declines substantially for an extended period of time, the Company's carrying value for a particular property may not be recoverable and in such instances an impairment loss may be recorded. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is determined by a comparison of the carrying amount of the assets to the future undiscounted net cash flow expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying value of such assets exceeds such cash flows, the assets are considered impaired. Impairment losses are measured as the difference between the asset's fair value and its carrying value. Fair value is determined by using management's best estimate of the discounted net cash flows over the remaining life of the asset, or other indicators of fair value.

During the first quarter of 2016, the Company executed a letter of intent to sell the Hilton Garden Inn – Fredericksburg, Virginia property. As a result, a test for impairment of the property was performed during the first quarter with fair value determined, in part, based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company estimated the sales proceeds based on the agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$5.5 million. As described in Note 4, the Hilton Garden Inn – Fredericksburg, Virginia was subsequently sold in August 2016.

During the second quarter of 2016, the Company executed a letter of intent to sell the Marriott – Boulder, Colorado property. As a result, a test for impairment of the property was performed during the second quarter with fair value determined, in part, based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company

[Table of Contents](#)

estimated the sales proceeds based on the agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$3.0 million. As described in Note 4, the Marriott – Boulder, Colorado was subsequently sold in August 2016.

As described in Note 5, during the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company committed to a plan to sell the Fairfield Inn – Huntsville, Alabama property and accordingly classified the property as held for sale as of December 31, 2016. In connection with the held for sale classification, the Company measured the property at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell, with fair value determined based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company estimated the sales proceeds based on an agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$4.3 million.

As described in Note 5, during the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company committed to a plan to sell the TownePlace Suites – Arlington, Texas property and accordingly classified the property as held for sale as of December 31, 2016. In connection with the held for sale classification, the Company measured the property at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell, with fair value determined based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company estimated the sales proceeds based on an agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$0.9 million.

As described in Note 5, during the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company committed to a plan to sell the Springhill Suites – Clearwater, Florida property and accordingly classified the property as held for sale as of December 31, 2016. In connection with the held for sale classification, the Company measured the property at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell, with fair value determined based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company estimated the sales proceeds based on an agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$2.7 million.

As described in Note 5, during the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company committed to a plan to sell the Courtyard – Albany, Georgia property and accordingly classified the property as held for sale as of December 31, 2016. In connection with the held for sale classification, the Company measured the property at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell, with fair value determined based on the estimated sales proceeds for the property. The Company estimated the sales proceeds based on an agreement of purchase and sale (subject to certain terms) entered into for the property. The test resulted in a non-cash impairment of investment in real estate of \$1.3 million.

Goodwill - Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations, and is characterized by intangible assets that do not qualify for separate recognition. In accordance with accounting guidance related to goodwill and other intangible assets, goodwill is not amortized, but instead reviewed for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual testing for impairment of goodwill during the fourth quarter of each year and in certain situations between those annual dates if indicators of impairment are present. The impairment analysis for goodwill is performed at the reporting unit level using a two-step approach. The first step is a comparison of the fair value of the reporting unit, determined using an income approach and validated by a market approach, to its carrying amount. If the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, the second step quantifies any impairment loss by comparing the current implied value of goodwill to the recorded goodwill balance. There was no impairment of goodwill for any of the periods presented.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of changes in goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands). The fiscal year 2016 change represents the goodwill amounts allocated at the Acquisition Date to the Hilton Garden Inn – Fredericksburg, Virginia and Marriott – Boulder, Colorado hotel properties which were sold in August 2016, and is included within the determination of loss on sale of hotel properties presented in the consolidated statement of operations and consolidated statement of cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2016.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Beginning balance	\$126,377	\$ 126,377
Allocated to sale of hotel properties	(9,907)	-
Ending balance	<u>\$116,470</u>	<u>\$ 126,377</u>

Revenue Recognition - Revenue is recognized as earned, which is generally defined as the date upon which a guest occupies a room or utilizes the hotel's services. Taxes collected from customers and submitted to taxing authorities are not recorded in revenue.

Sales and Marketing Costs - Sales and marketing costs are expensed when incurred. These costs represent the expense for franchise advertising under the terms of the hotel management and franchise agreements and general and administrative expenses that are directly attributable to advertising and promotion.

Income Taxes - The Company made an election, through the filing of Form 1120-REIT for 2012, to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, beginning with the Company's short taxable year ended December 31, 2012. In order to qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it distributes at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholder, subject to certain adjustments and excluding any net capital gain. The Company intends to adhere to these requirements to qualify for REIT status, and assuming it does qualify for taxation as a REIT, it will generally not be subject to federal income taxes to the extent it distributes substantially all of its taxable income to the Company's stockholder. However, the Company's taxable REIT subsidiaries ("TRS") will generally be subject to federal, state, and local income taxes and the consolidated income tax provision includes those taxes.

Valuation of Deferred Tax Assets - A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided when it is "more likely than not" that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Realization is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income or the reversal of deferred tax liabilities during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The Company considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in performing this assessment.

Income per Common Share - Basic income per common share is computed based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period, after giving effect to the 7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") dividends declared during the period. There were no potential dilutive shares during the applicable periods and, as a result, basic and dilutive outstanding shares were the same.

Segment Information - The Company derives revenues and cash flows from its hotel portfolio. Hotel portfolio financial information is analyzed for purposes of assessing performance and allocating resources. Therefore, the Company has one operating segment consisting of its hotel portfolio.

New Accounting Pronouncements - The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, *Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30)* on January 1, 2016. ASU 2015-03 changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in the financial statements to present such costs as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. These costs continue to be amortized into interest expense. In order to conform to the current period presentation,

[Table of Contents](#)

approximately \$4.8 million of debt issuance costs, which were previously presented within deferred financing costs, net, in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015, have been reclassified to be presented as a direct deduction of mortgages payable.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The update outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The accounting update will become effective for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and for interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted for periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company is currently assessing the impact this new guidance may have on the Company's operations and financial results.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)*. ASU 2016-08 does not change the core principle of the guidance stated in ASU 2014-09; instead, the amendments in this ASU are intended to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations and whether an entity reports revenue on a gross or net basis. ASU 2016-08 will have the same effective date and transition requirements as the new revenue standard issued in ASU 2014-09. The Company is currently assessing the impact this new guidance may have on the Company's operations and financial results.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, *Presentation of Financial Statements - Going Concern*. The ASU requires management to evaluate relevant conditions, events and certain management plans that are known or reasonably knowable as of the evaluation date when determining whether substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern exists. Management will be required to make this evaluation for both annual and interim reporting periods. The standard states substantial doubt exists when relevant conditions and events, considered in the aggregate, indicate that it is probable that the entity will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. An entity must provide certain disclosures if conditions or events raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2014-15 for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company does not expect the new standard to impact its consolidated financial statements or its disclosure.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases*. The new standard introduces a new lessee model which requires that substantially all leases be recorded on the balance sheet. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2016-02 for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2018 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact this new guidance may have on the Company's operations and financial results.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*. ASU 2016-15 reduces the existing diversity in practice in financial reporting across all industries by clarifying certain existing principles in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 230, *Statement of Cash Flows*, including providing additional guidance on how and what an entity should consider in determining the classification of certain cash flows. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2016-15 for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact this new guidance may have on the Company's operations and financial results.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-16, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory*. ASU 2016-16 requires an entity to recognize the income tax consequences of an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory when the transfer occurs, as opposed to waiting until the asset is sold to an outside party. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2016-16 for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. The Company is currently assessing the impact this new guidance may have on the Company's operations and financial results.

Table of Contents

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash*. ASU 2016-18 clarifies certain existing principles in ASC 230, including providing additional guidance related to transfers between cash and restricted cash and how entities present in their statement of cash flows, the cash receipts and cash payments that directly affect the restricted cash accounts. The Company elected to early adopt ASU 2016-18 effective the beginning of fiscal year 2016, and accordingly the fiscal year 2016 consolidated statement of cash flows presents a reconciliation of cash and restricted cash. The historical consolidated statements of cash flows presented for comparison purposes have been revised to conform to the fiscal year 2016 presentation.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment*. ASU 2017-04 simplifies the accounting for goodwill impairment by eliminating the process of measuring the implied value of goodwill, known as step two, from the goodwill impairment test. Instead, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess, limited to the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. The Company will be required to apply the provisions of ASU 2017-04 for accounting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim reporting periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is permitted. The Company does not expect the new standard to impact its consolidated financial statements unless an impairment loss exists in future periods.

3. Investment in Real Estate, net

Investment in real estate, net as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Land and Improvements	\$139,453	\$ 154,855
Building and Improvements	735,863	835,335
Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	52,706	49,020
Construction in Progress	23,319	21,345
	<u>951,341</u>	<u>1,060,555</u>
Less: Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(93,423)</u>	<u>(73,915)</u>
Investment in Real Estate, net	<u>\$857,918</u>	<u>\$ 986,640</u>

4. Sale of Hotel Properties

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company sold two hotels as summarized below (in thousands):

Hotel	Date of Sale	Proceeds	Loss	Mortgage Payable Repaid
Marriott - Boulder, Colorado	August 2016	\$59,349	(\$1,505)	\$43,232
Hilton Garden Inn - Fredericksburg, Virginia	August 2016	15,208	(837)	\$10,243
Total		<u>\$74,557</u>	<u>(\$2,342)</u>	<u>\$53,475</u>

The Company received proceeds of \$74.6 million, which are net of selling costs of \$2.4 million. The loss on sale of hotel properties in the consolidated statements of operations of \$2.3 million includes \$0.1 million of deferred financing costs allocated to the hotel properties sold.

5. Hotels Held for Sale

During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company committed to a plan to sell six hotels and accordingly the hotels were classified as hotels held for sale as of December 31, 2016. Hotels held for sale presented in the consolidated balance sheet consists of the investment in real estate of each hotel, which was measured at December 31, 2016

Table of Contents

at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less costs to sell. Mortgages payable related to assets of hotels held for sale presented in the consolidated balance sheet represents the principal of the mortgage payable that the Company is contractually required to repay in connection with the sale of the hotels. There are no other major captions of assets or liabilities related to the hotels held for sale. The following is a summary of hotels held for sale as of December 31, 2016 (in thousands).

Hotel	Assets of Hotels Held for Sale
Fairfield Inn - Huntsville, Alabama	\$ 3,613
TownePlace Suites - Arlington, Texas	7,136
Springhill Suites - Clearwater, Florida	4,973
TownePlace Suites - Las Colinas, Texas	12,330
Springhill Suites - Arlington, Texas	7,195
Courtyard - Albany, Georgia	7,849
Total	<u>\$ 43,096</u>

Subsequent to December 31, 2016, the Company sold five of the six hotels held for sale as summarized below (in thousands):

Hotel	Date of Sale	Proceeds	Mortgage Payable Repaid
Fairfield Inn - Huntsville, Alabama	January 2017	\$ 4,575	\$ 4,444
TownePlace Suites - Arlington, Texas	January 2017	8,001	3,606
Springhill Suites - Clearwater, Florida	January 2017	5,767	4,971
TownePlace Suites - Las Colinas, Texas	January 2017	16,867	8,248
Courtyard - Albany, Georgia	February 2017	8,628	6,242
Total		<u>\$ 43,838</u>	<u>\$ 27,511</u>

6. Mortgages Payable

Mortgages payable as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Mortgages payable before unamortized deferred financing costs	\$757,245	\$847,032
Unamortized deferred financing costs	-	(4,763)
Mortgages payable related to assets held and used	<u>\$757,245</u>	<u>\$842,269</u>
Mortgages payable related to assets of hotels held for sale	35,871	-
Total mortgages payable	<u>\$793,116</u>	<u>\$842,269</u>

On December 3, 2014, certain indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries (the "Borrowers") of the Company entered into a loan agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with commercial lenders (collectively, the "Lenders"), pursuant to which the Borrowers obtained an \$830 million mortgage loan from the Lenders (the "Loan"). The Loan is secured by first-priority, cross-collateralized mortgage liens on 59 of the 60 properties owned or ground-leased by certain subsidiaries of the Company, all related personal property, reserves, a pledge of all income received by the Borrowers with respect to the properties, a pledge of the ownership interests in the operating lessee and a security interest in a cash management account.

[Table of Contents](#)

A portion of the proceeds from the Loan were used to repay the mortgage and mezzanine loans obtained on May 14, 2013 by the Borrowers, as well as certain indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company that own direct and indirect interests in the Borrowers (the “Mezzanine Borrowers”), in the aggregate original principal amount of \$775 million and with an aggregate outstanding principal amount of \$763.9 million as of the date of repayment. Accordingly, on December 3, 2014, the Borrowers and Mezzanine Borrowers repaid in full, cancelled and terminated their respective mortgage and mezzanine loan agreements outstanding at that date without any penalties incurred.

The initial maturity for the Loan was December 9, 2016, and the Company exercised the first of three one-year extensions, subject to certain conditions permissible per the Loan Agreement, to extend the maturity date of the Loan to December 9, 2017. The Company plans to exercise the second of the three one-year extensions permissible per the Loan Agreement. The Loan is not subject to any mandatory principal amortization.

The initial interest rate of the Loan is equal to the one-month London interbank offered rate for deposits, or LIBOR, plus a margin rate of 2.80%. In connection with the first one-year extension, the Borrowers entered into an interest rate cap agreement, which caps the base interest rate before applying the applicable margins on the Loan, for an aggregate notional amount of \$776.5 million, a termination date of December 9, 2017 and a cap rate of 8.374%.

The Loan contains various representations and warranties, as well as certain financial, operating and other covenants that will among other things, limit the Company’s ability to:

- incur additional secured or unsecured indebtedness;
- make cash distributions at any time that the debt yield, representing the quotient (expressed as a percentage) calculated by dividing the annualized net operating income of the properties subject to the Loan by the outstanding principal amount of the indebtedness under the Loan, is less than 7.50% during the first four years of the Loan and 7.75% during the fifth year of the Loan or if there is a default continuing under the Loan, until such time as the debt yield is equal to or greater than 7.50% during the first four years of the Loan and 7.75% during the fifth year of the Loan or the Loan default has been cured;
- make investments or acquisitions;
- use assets as security in other transactions;
- sell assets (except that the Borrowers are permitted to sell assets so long as the debt yield is not reduced, subject to payment of applicable prepayment premiums and other property release requirements);
- guarantee other indebtedness; and
- consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of the Company’s assets.

Defaults under the Loan include, among other things, the failure to pay interest or principal when due, material misrepresentations, transfers of the underlying security for the Loan without any required consent from the Lender, defaults under certain agreements relating to the properties, including franchise and management agreements, bankruptcy of a Borrower or any guarantor of the Loan, failure to maintain required insurance and a failure to observe other covenants under the Loan, in each case subject to any applicable cure rights. The Borrowers may prepay the Loan, in whole or in part, at any time without any prepayment penalty or fee.

In addition, the applicable Borrowers for the Loan and BSHH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (the “Guarantor”) and an affiliate of BRE Holdings, will have recourse liability under the Loan for certain matters typical of a transaction of this type, including, without limitation, relating to losses arising out of actions by the Borrower, Guarantor, Sponsor or their respective affiliates controlled by the Sponsor which constitute fraud,

[Table of Contents](#)

intentional misrepresentation, misappropriation of funds (including insurance proceeds), removal or disposal of any property after an event of default under the Loan, a material violation of the due on sale/encumbrance covenants set forth in the loan agreements, willful misconduct that results in waste to any property and any material modification or voluntary termination of a ground lease without the Lender's prior written consent if required under the loan agreements. The Borrowers will also have recourse liability for the Loan in the event any security instrument or loan agreement is deemed a fraudulent conveyance or a preference, and the Borrowers and the Guarantor will have recourse liability for the Loan in the event of a voluntary or collusive involuntary bankruptcy of any Borrower or any operating lessee of the properties or in the event Borrower, Guarantor, Sponsor or their respective affiliates controlled by the Sponsor consents to or joins in the application for the appointment of a custodian, receiver, trustee or examiner of any Borrower or the operating lessee of any of the properties or any property, provided, however, the liability of the Guarantor described in this sentence shall not exceed 15% of the principal amount of the Loan outstanding at the time the event occurred.

Concurrent with the execution of the documents reflecting the Loan, the Company executed an Indemnity Agreement in favor of the Guarantor pursuant to which the Company agrees to indemnify and hold the Guarantor harmless from any losses incurred by the Guarantor pursuant to the terms of the guaranty executed by the Guarantor in favor of the Lenders in connection with the Loan.

Deferred financing costs consist of amounts paid for direct and indirect costs associated with the origination of the Loan. Deferred financing costs were \$0 and \$4.8 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and are presented as a direct deduction of mortgages payable on the consolidated balance sheets. Such costs are amortized on a straight-line basis (which approximates the effective interest method) over the term of the related debt.

As part of the Merger, the Company assumed an existing loan with a commercial lender secured by the Company's Fort Worth, Texas Residence Inn property. The loan matures on October 6, 2022 and carries a fixed interest rate of 4.73%. The outstanding principal balance was \$16.6 million and \$17.0 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and is included in mortgages payable in the consolidated balance sheets.

Components of interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Mortgage debt	\$27,829	\$26,036	\$33,319
Amortization of deferred financing costs	4,678	5,054	5,464
Capitalized interest	(363)	(386)	-
Total interest expense, net	<u>\$32,144</u>	<u>\$30,704</u>	<u>\$38,783</u>

The Company had an effective interest rate of 3.34%, 3.06% and 4.10% for its debt during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company's weighted average interest rate on its debt was 3.53% and 3.17%, respectively.

Table of Contents

Future scheduled principal payments of debt obligations (assuming exercise of second extension option under the Loan Agreement and the sale of the hotels held for sale as of December 31, 2016 during the fiscal year 2017) as of December 31, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

2017	\$ 36,335
2018	741,140
2019	510
2020	533
2021	562
Thereafter	14,036
Total	<u>\$ 793,116</u>

Due to the sale of the hotels described in Note 5, \$2.6 million of the fiscal year 2018 principal payment presented above was repaid in the first quarter of 2017 in order to comply with the debt yield as required under the terms of the Loan Agreement.

7. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

In accordance with the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements and disclosures, the Company measures nonfinancial assets and liabilities subject to nonrecurring measurement and financial assets and liabilities subject to recurring measurement based on a hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used to measure the fair value. Inputs used in determining fair value should be from the highest level available in the following hierarchy:

Level 1 — Inputs based on quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access.

Level 2 — Inputs based on quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted market prices in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 — Inputs are unobservable for the asset or liability and typically based on an entity's own assumptions as there is little, if any, related market activity.

Determining estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments such as mortgages payable requires considerable judgment to interpret market data. The market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies used may have a material effect on estimated fair value amounts. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts by which these instruments could be purchased, sold, or settled.

The table excludes cash, restricted cash, due from thirty party managers, net, accounts payable and accrued expenses, and due to third-party managers, net, all of which had fair values approximating their carrying amounts due to the short maturities and liquidity of these instruments. Carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, for periods indicated, were as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2016		December 31, 2015	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:				
Interest rate caps	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Financial liabilities not measured at fair value:				
Mortgages payable	\$793,116	\$792,699	\$847,032	\$846,684

Interest rate caps - In December 2014, the Company acquired one interest rate cap agreement, as required by the terms of its Loan, considered to be a derivative financial instrument. The agreement caps the interest rate on the

[Table of Contents](#)

Loan. The Company did not designate the derivative as a hedge for accounting purposes and, accordingly, accounts for the interest rate cap at fair value in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets in other assets with adjustments to fair value recorded in gain (loss) on derivatives in the consolidated statements of operations. The interest rate cap was acquired at a cost of \$0.3 million. Upon exercise of the first one-year extension of the Loan, the Company acquired another interest rate cap agreement at a cost of \$0.1 million. Fair value is determined by using prevailing market data and incorporating proprietary models based on well recognized financial principles and reasonable estimates where applicable from a third-party source. This is considered a Level 2 valuation technique. Fair value changes on the interest rate cap are classified as a component of cash flows from operations.

Mortgages payable - For fixed rate mortgage payable, fair value is calculated by discounting the future cash flows of each instrument at estimated market rates of debt obligations with similar maturities and credit profiles or quality. This is considered a Level 3 valuation technique. The estimated fair value of the mortgages payable in the table above includes the estimated fair value of the mortgage loan secured by the Company's Fort Worth, Texas Residence Inn property, and the Company's carrying value of the Loan. The fair value of the Loan cannot be reasonably estimated because it is not readily determinable without undue cost.

Impairment of investment in real estate - The impairment determinations during the year ended December 31, 2016 for the properties described in Note 2 were based on Level 2 fair value measurements.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Insurance - The Company carries comprehensive insurance, including general liability, property, rental loss and umbrella liability coverage on all of its hotels. In addition, the Company carries flood coverage on certain hotels when available on commercially reasonable terms for hotels where we believe such coverage is warranted or required under the terms of the Loan. On June 5, 2015, the Company evacuated and temporarily closed the Homewood Suites in Fort Worth, Texas due to damage incurred from extensive flooding in the area during late May 2015. Remediation work was started immediately, and the hotel reopened on October 27, 2015. Insurance receivable related to fiscal year 2015 property insurance claims totaled \$5.1 million and \$6.5 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company collected \$1.4 million of the insurance receivable during the year ended December 31, 2016. The estimated insurance recoveries are preliminary, subject to final settlement of the respective claims with the Company's insurance providers. As of December 31, 2016, the total estimated insurance recoveries are probable, and the collectability of the insurance receivable at December 31, 2016 is reasonably assured.

Litigation - The Company is subject to various legal proceedings, claims and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are expected to be covered by liability insurance. Management makes assumptions and estimates concerning the likelihood and amount of any potential loss relating to these matters using the latest information available. The Company records a liability for litigation if an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. If an unfavorable outcome is probable and a reasonable estimate of the loss is a range, the Company accrues the best estimate within the range. If no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other amount, the Company accrues the minimum amount within the range. If an unfavorable outcome is probable but the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably estimated, the Company discloses the nature of the litigation and indicates that an estimate of the loss or range of loss cannot be made. If an unfavorable outcome is reasonably possible and the estimated loss is material, the Company discloses the nature and estimate of the possible loss of the litigation. The Company does not disclose information with respect to litigation where an unfavorable outcome is considered to be remote or where the estimated loss would not be material. Based on current expectations, such matters, both individually and in the aggregate, are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the liquidity, results of operations, business or financial condition of the Company.

In February 2017, the Company received \$1.4 million in proceeds from the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement Program arising out of damages suffered by four properties prior to the Merger. The proceeds will be presented in other revenue in the 2017 consolidated statements of operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

Franchise Agreements - As of December 31, 2016, the Company's hotel properties, other than the Courtyard in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina, the SpringHill Suites in Fort Worth, Texas and the Marriott in Redmond, Washington, (the "Marriott Managed Properties"), were operated under franchise agreements between the Company's TRS and Marriott or Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc. or its affiliates ("Hilton"). The franchise agreements for these hotels allow the properties to operate under the brand identified in the applicable franchise agreements. The management agreements for each of the Marriott Managed Properties allow the Marriott Managed Properties to operate under the brand identified therein. Pursuant to the franchise agreements, the Company pays a royalty fee, generally between 4.5% and 6.0% of room revenue, which is included in franchise fees in the consolidated statements of operations. Program fees, which include additional fees for marketing, are included in sales and marketing expense, and central reservation system and other franchisor costs are included in operating expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management Agreements - As of December 31, 2016, each of the Company's 60 hotels were operated and managed, under separate management agreements, by affiliates of the following companies: Marriott, Texas Western International ("Western"), Larry Blumberg & Associates ("LBA"), White Lodging Services Corporation ("White"), Inn Ventures, Inc. ("Inn Ventures"), Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc. ("Interstate"), OTO Development, LLC ("OTO"), or Sage Hospitality ("Sage"). The management agreements require the Company to pay a monthly fee calculated as a percentage of revenues, generally between 2.0% - 7.0%, as well as annual incentive fees, if applicable, and are included in management fees in the consolidated statements of operations. The agreements have remaining terms generally ranging from less than one year to 17 years. The agreements with less than one year remaining in their term generally automatically renew on annual or month-to-month terms unless either party to the agreement gives prior notice of the termination thereof. If the Company terminates a management agreement prior to its expiration, it may be liable for estimated management fees through the remaining term and liquidated damages. Additionally, the Company, from time to time, enters into management agreements to manage retail premises ancillary to its hotels.

TRS Lease Agreements - The Company's lease agreements are intercompany agreements between the TRS lessees and the Company's property-owning subsidiaries. These agreements generally contain terms which are customary for third-party lease agreements, including terms for rent payments and other expenses. All related rental income and expense related to the TRS lease agreements eliminate on a consolidated basis, and therefore have no impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Ground Leases - As of December 31, 2016, four of the Company's hotel properties had ground leases with remaining terms ranging from three to 17 years, which may be extended at the Company's election. The ground lease for the Residence Inn in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania originated at the time of the Merger and has a remaining term of 17 years. Payments under this lease are payable to a subsidiary of the Company and, therefore eliminated in consolidation and excluded from the table below. Ground lease expenses totaled \$0.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014, and are included in taxes, insurance and other in the consolidated statements of operations. The aggregate amounts of minimum lease payments under these lease agreements for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2016 and thereafter are as follows, excluding payments related to the Springhill Suites – Clearwater, Florida which was sold in January 2017 as discussed in Note 5 (in thousands):

2017	\$107
2018	107
2019	107
2020	45
2021	-
Thereafter	-
Total	<u>\$366</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

9. 7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

In connection with the Merger, the Company issued 97,032,848 shares of 7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (“Series A Preferred Stock”). The terms of these shares provide the Company with the right to redeem such shares at any time for an amount equal to the liquidation preference, plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends. In addition, the terms of these shares include an option for a holder of such shares to require the Company to redeem all or a portion of such holder’s shares on or after November 14, 2020 for an amount equal to the liquidation preference, plus any accumulated and unpaid dividends. The initial dividend rate on these shares is 7% per annum. The dividend rate will increase to 9% per annum if dividends are not paid in cash for more than six quarters, and to 11% per annum if they are not redeemed after the earlier of certain change of control events and May 14, 2018. Due to the put option provided to the holders of these shares, such shares have been classified outside of permanent stockholder’s equity.

On December 31, 2014, approximately \$47.5 million of the proceeds of the Loan were used to redeem 24,650,000 shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. Shares were redeemed on a pro rata basis from each stockholder at a redemption price of \$1.9281 per share, which was comprised of the \$1.90 liquidation preference per share along with \$0.0281 in accumulated and unpaid dividends earned through the December 31, 2014 redemption date.

BRE Holdings currently owns approximately 1.5 million shares of the Series A Preferred Stock.

The initial liquidation preference of \$1.90 per share will be subject to downward adjustment should net costs and payments relating to litigation and regulatory matters for alleged legacy acts exceed \$3.5 million from the date of the Merger Agreement (November 29, 2012). The Company recognizes changes in the redemption value immediately as they occur and adjusts the carrying amount of the Series A Preferred Stock to equal the redemption value at the end of each reporting period. As of December 31, 2016, the initial liquidation preference has not been adjusted.

Dividends were declared to be paid in cash for all four quarters of 2016, 2015 and 2014, of which 100% were characterized as ordinary income. On December 23, 2016, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a dividend for the Series A Preferred Stock of \$0.0333 per share, paid on January 17, 2017 to stockholders of record on January 1, 2017. As of December 31, 2016, the Company accrued \$2.4 million for this dividend, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet.

The table below reconciles the Series A Preferred Stock for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

Ending balance as of December 31, 2014	\$137,160
Dividends declared in 2015	(9,641)
Dividends earned in 2015	9,641
Ending balance as of December 31, 2015	\$137,160
Dividends declared in 2016	(9,641)
Dividends earned in 2016	9,641
Ending balance as of December 31, 2016	\$137,160

10. Stockholder’s Equity

The Company is authorized to issue 150,100,000 shares of capital stock pursuant to its Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, consisting of (i) 100,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and (ii) 150,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share.

Holders of the Company’s common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, there were 100 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. BRE Holdings

[Table of Contents](#)

owns 100% of the Company's issued and outstanding common stock. Dividends were declared to be paid in cash for all four quarters of 2016, of which 33.47% were characterized as ordinary income and 66.53% were characterized as return of capital. Dividends were declared to be paid in cash for the second, third and fourth quarters of 2015, of which 98.54% were characterized as ordinary income and 1.46% were characterized as return of capital.

On February 10, 2017, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend on the common stock of \$100,000 per share, which was paid on February 13, 2017.

11. Income Taxes

The Company accounts for TRS income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. The analysis utilized by the Company in determining the deferred tax valuation allowance involves considerable management judgment and assumptions.

The provision for income taxes differs from the amounts of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pretax income from continuing operations as a result of the following differences (in thousands). The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2016 includes an income tax benefit of \$0.9 million due to contributions of certain investments in real estate from the REIT to certain TRS during the year.

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2016		2015		2014	
Statutory federal income tax provision	\$ 6,253	34.0%	\$ 13,505	34.0%	\$10,372	34.0%
Adjustment for nontaxable income	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(6,635)	36.1%	(11,468)	28.9%	(8,150)	26.7%
State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	126	0.7%	390	1.0%	370	1.2%
Contributions to TRS	(942)	-5.1%	-	-%	-	-%
Other	-	-%	(18)	-%	150	0.5%
Total income tax (benefit) expense	<u>(\$ 1,198)</u>	<u>-6.5%</u>	<u>\$ 2,409</u>	<u>6.1%</u>	<u>\$ 2,742</u>	<u>9.0%</u>

The components of the Company's income tax (benefit) expense from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015, and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Income tax (benefit) expense:			
Current:			
Federal	\$ 3,353	\$1,887	\$2,254
State	728	568	571
Deferred:			
Federal	(4,822)	(42)	(75)
State	(457)	(4)	(8)
Total	<u>(\$ 1,198)</u>	<u>\$2,409</u>	<u>\$2,742</u>

Table of Contents

The components of the consolidated TRS's net deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses and other	\$ 585	\$ 623
Prepaid expenses and other	21	9
Investment in real estate book-tax basis difference	4,641	-
Goodwill book-tax basis difference	804	-
Net operating loss carryforward	935	935
Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowances	6,986	1,567
Valuation allowances	(935)	(935)
Deferred tax assets	<u>\$6,051</u>	<u>\$ 632</u>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Goodwill book-tax basis differences	\$ 145	\$ -
Deferred tax liabilities	<u>\$ 145</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, the Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The \$0.9 million valuation allowance recorded at both December 31, 2016 and 2015 is for net operating loss ("NOL") carryforwards where it is not considered more likely than not that the NOL carryforwards will be realized prior to expiration. The NOL carryforwards will begin to expire in 2034. Based on tax planning strategies and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, the Company believes it is more likely than not that the remaining deferred tax assets will be realized.

A position taken or expected to be taken by the Company in a tax return is recognized, or derecognized, in the consolidated financial statements when it is more likely than not that the position would be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. A recognized tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. As of December 31, 2016, the Company does not have any uncertain tax positions. The Company's policy for interest and penalties, if any, on uncertain tax positions recognized in the consolidated financial statements is to classify these as interest expense and operating expense, respectively.

The Company conducts business and files tax returns in the United States and numerous states and local jurisdictions. The Company's tax years are generally open after 2012.

12. Related Party Transactions

The Sponsor and its affiliates are in the business of making investments in companies and real estate assets and currently own, and may, from time to time acquire and hold, in each case, interests in businesses or assets that compete directly or indirectly with the Company. In addition, certain affiliates of the Sponsor have significant influence over Hilton, which indirectly owns the entities that serve as franchisors and receive franchise fees for 26 of the hotels owned by the Company. In accordance with the Company's certificate of incorporation, the Sponsor has no obligation to present any corporate opportunities to the Company or to conduct its other business and investment affairs in the best interests of the Company or holders of Series A Preferred Stock. In connection with the Sponsor's and its affiliates' business activities, the Sponsor, BRE Holdings or any of their affiliates, including, without limitation, Hilton or its subsidiaries, may from time to time enter into arrangements with the Company or its subsidiaries. These arrangements may be subject to restrictions on affiliate transactions contained in agreements entered into in connection with the Loan. The Company incurred \$17.5 million, \$18.3 million and

[Table of Contents](#)

\$17.5 million of franchise fees, marketing fees, and other expenses during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, under agreements with Hilton or its subsidiaries. In addition, the Company uses Hilton to procure select capital improvements for its hotels. The Company paid Hilton \$0, \$3.6 million and \$1.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to capital improvements. No amounts were owed to Hilton at either December 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

A management company provided services to the Company including financial, accounting, administrative and other services that may be requested from time to time pursuant to a corporate services agreement. Affiliates of the Sponsor hold a management interest in this management company. The Company paid \$1.6 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.1 million to this management company during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, the Company owed this management company \$0.1 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015 which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheets.

13. Discontinued Operations

The discontinued operations presented for the year ended December 31, 2014 represents the individual sales of hotels which were sold prior to the Company's adoption, on January 1, 2015, of a new accounting standard which revised the accounting definition of a discontinued operation. The Company expects that any future disposals of operating real estate assets would not qualify for discontinued operations reporting presentation, unless the disposals represent a strategic shift that has a major effect on the Company's operations and financial results.

The Company sold four hotels during 2014 as summarized below (in thousands):

<u>Hotel</u>	<u>Sale Date</u>	<u>Net Proceeds</u>	<u>Gain/(Loss)</u>
Fairfield Inn - Orange Park, Florida	April 2014	\$ 2,978	(\$ 67)
Fairfield Inn - Birmingham, Alabama	May 2014	1,509	223
SpringHill Suites - Savannah, Georgia	June 2014	3,405	(285)
SpringHill Suites - Montgomery, Alabama	September 2014	1,488	(21)
Total		<u>\$ 9,380</u>	<u>(\$ 150)</u>

The results of operations for these properties prior to the sale are classified as loss from discontinued operations. The following table sets forth the operating results from discontinued operations (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31, 2014</u>
Total revenue	\$ 2,479
Hotel operating expenses	1,938
Taxes, insurance and other	102
General and administrative	67
Interest expense	328
Income tax benefit	(62)
Loss from hotel dispositions	<u>(150)</u>
Loss from discontinued operations	<u>(\$ 44)</u>

The Company allocated interest expense to discontinued operations and included such interest expense in computing loss from discontinued operations. Interest expense was allocated by taking the loan release amounts for the discontinued operations, as a percentage of the total outstanding principal, multiplied by the interest expense for the period.

[Table of Contents](#)**14. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)**

	Quarter Ended - 2016			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$ 66,526	\$ 79,380	\$ 79,885	\$ 60,688
Net income (loss)	1,246	10,222	10,406	(2,284)
Series A Preferred Stock dividends declared	(2,410)	(2,411)	(2,410)	(2,410)
Net income (loss) available for common stockholder	(1,164)	7,811	7,996	(4,694)
Basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share available to common stockholder	(\$11,640.00)	\$ 78,110.00	\$ 79,960.00	(\$ 46,940.00)
Distributions declared and paid per common share	\$ 90,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$260,000.00	\$ 90,000.00

	Quarter Ended - 2015			
	March 31	June 30	September 30	December 31 (a)
	(in thousands, except per share data)			
Revenues	\$ 63,478	\$ 76,145	\$ 82,126	\$ 65,266
Net income	9,579	9,417	12,932	5,383
Series A Preferred Stock dividends declared	(2,410)	(2,411)	(2,410)	(2,410)
Net income available for common stockholder	7,169	7,006	10,522	2,973
Basic and diluted net income per common share available to common stockholder	\$ 71,690.00	\$ 70,060.00	\$105,220.00	\$ 29,730.00
Distributions declared and paid per common share	\$ -	\$ 90,000.00	\$190,000.00	\$ 100,000.00

- (a) Includes \$1.7 million loss on disposals of investment in real estate due to property damage at four of the Company's hotels located in Texas.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to accomplish their objectives at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act). The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The Company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluations of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or COSO, in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* (1992). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm, as non-accelerated filers are exempt from the auditor attestation requirements of section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

[Table of Contents](#)

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's fourth fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

Pursuant to Section 219 of the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012, which added Section 13(r) of the Exchange Act, the Company hereby incorporates by reference herein Exhibit 99.1 of this report, which includes disclosures regarding activities at Travelport Worldwide Limited and NCR Corporation, which, during some or all of the period covered by this report, may be considered to have been an affiliate of The Blackstone Group L.P. ("Blackstone"), and therefore an affiliate of the Company.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The board of directors and executive officers of the Company are currently comprised of the following four individuals:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Service as Director</u>	<u>Executive Office</u>
William Stein	54	Since 2012	Chief Executive Officer and Senior Managing Director
Brian Kim	37	Since 2012	Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Managing Director
A.J. Agarwal	50	Since 2013	President and Senior Managing Director
Tyler Henritze	36	Since 2013	Secretary, Vice President and Senior Managing Director

William J. Stein - Mr. Stein, age 54, is the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Senior Managing Director, and he has served as a director and officer of the Company since November 29, 2012. Mr. Stein is a Senior Managing Director and Global Head of Asset Management in the Real Estate Group of Blackstone, an affiliate of the Company. Since joining Blackstone in 1997, Mr. Stein has been involved in the direct asset management and asset management oversight of Blackstone's global real estate assets. Before joining Blackstone, Mr. Stein was a Vice President at Heitman Real Estate Advisors and JMB Realty Corp. Mr. Stein received a B.B.A. from the University of Michigan and an M.B.A. from the University of Chicago. Mr. Stein serves on the Board of Directors of Invitation Homes Inc., Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc., Extended Stay America, Inc. and Nevada Property 1 LLC (The Cosmopolitan of Las Vegas), where he serves on the audit committee. He previously served as a board member of La Quinta Holdings Inc. until November 2014 and of Brixmor Property Group Inc. until August 2016. In selecting Mr. Stein to serve as a director, the Company considered Mr. Stein's tenure with Blackstone involving the direct asset management and asset management oversight of Blackstone's global real estate assets, as well as his prior executive positions at other real estate advisory firms.

Brian Kim - Mr. Kim, age 37, is the Company's Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Managing Director, and he has served as a director and officer of the Company since November 29, 2012. Mr. Kim is the Head of Acquisitions and Capital Markets at Blackstone Real Estate Income Trust, Inc. ("BREIT") and a Managing Director in the Real Estate Group of Blackstone, each affiliates of the Company. Before joining Blackstone in 2008, Mr. Kim was an associate at Apollo Real Estate Advisors. Prior to that, Mr. Kim worked for Max Capital Management Corp., a New York City-based real estate investment and management firm and, before Max Capital, he was an analyst in the Investment Banking Group of Credit Suisse First Boston. Mr. Kim received an A.B. in Biology from Harvard College. He currently serves as a board member of La Quinta Holdings Inc. In selecting Mr. Kim to serve as a director, we considered his affiliation with Blackstone, his significant experience in working with companies controlled by private equity sponsors, particularly in the real estate industry, his experience in working with the management of various other companies owned by Blackstone's funds, his experience with real estate investing and his extensive financial background.

A.J. Agarwal - Mr. Agarwal, age 50, is the Company's President and Senior Managing Director, and he has served as a director and officer of the Company since May 17, 2013. Mr. Agarwal is the President of BREIT and a Senior Managing Director in the Real Estate Group of Blackstone, each affiliates of the Company. Mr. Agarwal oversees the global core and core plus real estate business for the Real Estate Group. Prior to joining the Real Estate Group in 2010, Mr. Agarwal was a member of Blackstone's Financial Advisory Group, leading the firm's advisory practice in a number of areas, including real estate and leisure/lodging. Mr. Agarwal graduated magna cum laude from Princeton University and received an M.B.A. from Stanford University Graduate School of Business. Mr. Agarwal serves on the Board of Directors of BREIT. Mr. Agarwal previously served as a board member of Extended Stay America, Inc. until March 2015 and of Brixmor Property Group Inc. until July 2015. In selecting Mr. Agarwal to serve as a director, the Company considered Mr. Agarwal's expertise as a Senior Managing Director in evaluating real estate acquisitions in the North American region and his financial advisory background in the real estate and leisure/lodging sector.

[Table of Contents](#)

Tyler Henritze - Mr. Henritze, age 36, is the Company's Secretary, Vice President and Senior Managing Director, and he has served as a director and officer of the Company since May 17, 2013. Mr. Henritze has been a senior managing director in the real estate group of Blackstone, an affiliate of the Company, since January 2013, and is currently the Co-head of US Acquisitions. Prior to being named a senior managing director at Blackstone, Mr. Henritze served as a managing director from January 2011 to December 2012 and as principal from January 2009 to December 2010. Since joining Blackstone in 2004, Mr. Henritze has been involved in over \$50 billion of real estate investments across all property types. He played a key role in acquisitions including Motel 6, Duke Realty Office Portfolio, Valad Property Group, Extended Stay Hotels, Equity Office Properties Trust, CarrAmerica Realty, La Quinta and Wyndham International. Before joining Blackstone, Mr. Henritze worked at Merrill Lynch in the real estate investment banking group and was involved in a variety of debt, equity and M&A transactions. Mr. Henritze received a B.S. in Commerce from The McIntire School at the University of Virginia, where he graduated with distinction. He serves on the Board of Directors of Park Hotels & Resorts Inc. and Nevada Property 1 LLC (The Cosmopolitan of Las Vegas), where he serves on the audit committee. He previously served as a board member of Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc. until May 2015. He is a member of the IREFAC Council of the American Hotel and Lodging Association and is active with City Year NY, serving on its investment community board. In selecting Mr. Henritze to serve as a director, the Company considered his affiliation with Blackstone, his significant experience in working with companies controlled by private equity sponsors, particularly in the real estate industry, his experience in working with the management of various other companies owned by Blackstone's funds, his experience with real estate investing and his extensive financial background.

Code of Ethics

We do not have a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. We have determined that a code of ethics would not be necessary because we do not have any employees, all of our executive officers are employees of an affiliate of the Sponsor, and we are not required to adopt a code of ethics because our securities are not listed on a national securities exchange. Although we do not have a code of ethics, we endeavor to carry out our responsibilities in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

While our board of directors has not designated any of its members as an audit committee financial expert, we believe that the board is qualified to address accounting or financial reporting issues that may come before it, and accordingly, an audit committee financial expert is not necessary.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

We do not have any employees. All of our executive officers are employees of an affiliate of the Sponsor and do not receive compensation from us or from any of our subsidiaries for serving as our executive officers. Accordingly, we do not have employment agreements with our executive officers, we do not provide pension or retirement benefits, perquisites or other personal benefits to our executive officers and we do not have arrangements to make payments to our executive officers upon their termination or in the event of a change in control of the Company.

Additionally, our executive officers are not required to dedicate a specific amount of time to us. Accordingly, we cannot identify the portion of the compensation awarded to our executive officers that relates solely to their services to us, as our executive officers are not compensated specifically for such services.

Because our executive officers do not receive compensation from us or from any of our subsidiaries and because we cannot identify the portion of the compensation awarded to our executive officers that relates solely to their services to us, we do not provide executive compensation disclosure pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

BRE Holdings owns 100% of our issued and outstanding common stock, the Company's voting securities. None of our executive officers or directors beneficially owns any equity securities of the Company.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Transactions with Related Persons

The Sponsor and its affiliates are in the business of making investments in companies and real estate assets and currently own, and may, from time to time acquire and hold, in each case, interests in businesses or assets that compete directly or indirectly with the Company. In addition, certain affiliates of the Sponsor have significant influence over Hilton, which indirectly owns the entities that serve as franchisors and receive franchise fees for 26 of the hotels owned by the Company. In accordance with the Company's certificate of incorporation, the Sponsor has no obligation to present any corporate opportunities to the Company or to conduct its other business and investment affairs in the best interests of the Company or holders of Series A Preferred Stock. In connection with the Sponsor's and its affiliates' business activities, the Sponsor, BRE Holdings or any of their affiliates, including, without limitation, Hilton or its subsidiaries, may from time to time enter into arrangements with the Company or its subsidiaries. These arrangements may be subject to restrictions on affiliate transactions contained in agreements entered into in connection with the Loan. The Company incurred \$17.5 million, \$18.3 million and \$17.5 million of franchise fees, marketing fees, and other expenses during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, under agreements with Hilton or its subsidiaries. In addition, the Company uses Hilton to procure select capital improvements for its hotels. The Company paid Hilton \$0, \$3.6 million and \$1.0 million during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to capital improvements. No amounts were owed to Hilton at either December 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

A management company provided services to the Company including financial, accounting, administrative and other services that may be requested from time to time pursuant to a corporate services agreement. Affiliates of the Sponsor hold a management interest in this management company. The Company paid \$1.6 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.1 million to this management company during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In addition, the Company owed this management company \$0.1 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015 which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheets.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

While we do not have a formal written policy, our board of directors will review and approve or ratify related party transactions on an as needed basis.

Board Composition

As a privately-held company with no securities listed on a national securities exchange, we are not required to have independent directors on our board of directors. Accordingly, we have not made any determinations of independence with respect to any of our directors.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP served as the independent auditors for the Company's last two fiscal years. The following table presents fees for professional services for the audit of our financial statements for 2016 and 2015, along with fees billed for other services rendered:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Firm</u>	<u>Audit Fees</u>	<u>Audit-Related Fees</u>	<u>Tax Fees</u>	<u>All Other Fees</u>
2016	Deloitte & Touche LLP	\$535,000	\$ 159,220	\$245,000	\$ -
2015	Deloitte & Touche LLP	\$569,275	\$ -	\$240,000	\$ -

[Table of Contents](#)

All services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP are permissible under applicable laws and regulations, and the annual audit and tax services of the Company were pre-approved by the board of directors of the Company. The nature of each of the services in the preceding table is described below.

Audit Fees - These fees are for professional services rendered for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements, reviews of the financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-Q filings or services normally provided by the independent auditor in connection with statutory or regulatory filings or engagements and other accounting and financial reporting work necessary to comply with the standards of the PCAOB and fees for services that only the Company's independent auditor can reasonably provide.

Audit-Related Fees - These are fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company's financial statements. Such services including accounting consultations, internal control reviews, audits in connection with acquisitions, attest services related to financial reporting that are not required by statute or regulation and required agreed-upon procedure engagements.

Tax Fees - Such services represent tax compliance services.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Company has not established an audit committee nor adopted an audit committee charter. Rather, it is the responsibility of the entire board of directors to serve the functions of an audit committee, with designated members assigned to pre-approve all audit and permitted non-audit services to be performed by the independent auditors, such approval to take place in advance of such services when required by law, regulation, or rule, subject to the de minimis exceptions for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act that are approved by the board or its designated members prior to completion of the audit.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

1. Financial Statements of BRE Select Hotels Corp

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 and each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 — Deloitte & Touche LLP

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

These financial statements are set forth in Item 8 of this Annual Report and are hereby incorporated by reference.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

Schedule III—Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation (Included at the end of this Part IV of this Annual Report.)

Financial statement schedules not listed are either omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

Incorporated herein by reference are the exhibits listed under "Exhibits Index" to this Annual Report.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP
SCHEDULE III-REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2016
(dollars in thousands)

City	State	Brand	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company (1)		Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Cost at Which Carried at Close of Period (2) (3)			Acc Depr	Year of Construction	Date Acquired	Depreciable Life
				Land	Building and Improvements	Land	Building and Improvements	Land	Bldg/FF&E/Other	Total				
Dothan	Alabama	Courtyard	\$ 5,920	\$ 810	\$ 8,690	\$ 1,421	\$ 822	\$ 10,099	\$10,921	(\$ 1,131)		1996	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Dothan	Alabama	Hampton Inn & Suites	9,272	1,110	6,700	1,189	1,115	7,884	8,999	(895)		2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Huntsville	Alabama	Residence Inn	5,848	1,280	8,300	1,233	1,308	9,505	10,813	(1,041)		2002	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Tuscaloosa	Alabama	Courtyard	9,486	-	7,690	311	19	7,982	8,001	(1,091)		1996	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Tuscaloosa	Alabama	Fairfield Inn	4,422	-	3,990	1,641	4	5,627	5,631	(611)		1996	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Anchorage	Alaska	Hampton Inn	12,196	2,020	12,980	1,636	2,071	14,565	16,636	(1,768)		1997	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Anchorage	Alaska	Hilton Garden Inn	21,040	2,530	20,780	2,146	2,579	22,877	25,456	(2,422)		2002	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Anchorage	Alaska	Homewood Suites	19,399	3,190	19,510	1,336	3,332	20,704	24,036	(2,165)		2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Phoenix	Arizona	Hampton Inn	9,842	3,930	7,190	542	3,942	7,720	11,662	(932)		1998	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Arcadia	California	Hilton Garden Inn	17,759	2,940	14,310	1,731	2,989	15,992	18,981	(1,888)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Arcadia	California	SpringHill Suites	10,342	2,610	9,130	2,401	2,662	11,479	14,141	(1,677)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Bakersfield	California	Hilton Garden Inn	9,414	1,260	10,490	2,541	1,264	13,027	14,291	(1,255)		2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Folsom	California	Hilton Garden Inn	8,487	1,310	11,000	1,596	1,344	12,562	13,906	(1,323)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Foothill Ranch	California	Hampton Inn	6,847	2,970	5,080	1,809	3,017	6,842	9,859	(986)		1998	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Lake Forest	California	Hilton Garden Inn	11,197	4,250	10,440	2,068	4,274	12,484	16,758	(1,595)		2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Milpitas	California	Hilton Garden Inn	32,789	6,600	22,190	2,813	6,604	24,999	31,603	(2,745)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Roseville	California	Hilton Garden Inn	8,202	2,470	4,260	1,987	2,515	6,202	8,717	(601)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
San Francisco	California	Hilton Garden Inn	34,377	7,920	29,100	2,448	7,938	31,530	39,468	(3,208)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Glendale	Colorado	Hampton Inn & Suites	14,050	3,480	17,090	2,717	3,490	19,797	23,287	(2,269)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Lakewood	Colorado	Hampton Inn	12,339	2,520	12,590	990	2,543	13,557	16,100	(1,742)		2003	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Farmington	Connecticut	Courtyard	13,123	2,600	15,030	2,068	2,610	17,088	19,698	(2,178)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Rocky Hill	Connecticut	Residence Inn	11,197	1,640	14,700	1,602	1,675	16,267	17,942	(1,953)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Wallingford	Connecticut	Homewood Suites	10,770	1,250	12,530	860	1,267	13,373	14,640	(1,548)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Lake Mary	Florida	Courtyard	5,420	1,190	5,570	1,502	1,201	7,061	8,262	(773)		1995	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Lakeland	Florida	Residence Inn	10,841	630	9,740	1,939	646	11,663	12,309	(1,463)		2001	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Panama City	Florida	Courtyard	9,414	560	7,310	1,303	562	8,611	9,173	(1,258)		2006	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Pensacola	Florida	Courtyard	7,774	610	8,740	416	643	9,123	9,766	(1,282)		1997	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Pensacola	Florida	Fairfield Inn	3,423	530	4,060	1,343	531	5,402	5,933	(582)		1995	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Pensacola	Florida	Hampton Inn & Suites	8,273	540	6,540	1,473	543	8,010	8,553	(965)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Tallahassee	Florida	Hilton Garden Inn	10,556	2,270	9,780	1,505	2,274	11,281	13,555	(1,307)		1997	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Columbus	Georgia	Residence Inn	6,419	1,190	7,600	1,513	1,195	9,108	10,303	(1,384)		2003	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Valdosta	Georgia	Courtyard	5,135	1,160	7,690	362	1,180	8,032	9,212	(1,117)		2002	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Mt. Olive	New Jersey	Residence Inn	12,695	2,930	14,860	1,740	2,933	16,597	19,530	(2,060)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Somerset	New Jersey	Homewood Suites	11,411	3,120	8,830	1,681	3,124	10,507	13,631	(1,312)		2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.
Saratoga Springs	New York	Hilton Garden Inn	19,328	960	17,020	1,865	960	18,885	19,845	(2,058)		1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.

[Table of Contents](#)

City	State	Brand	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company (1)			Costs Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Gross Cost at Which Carried at Close of Period (2) (3)			Acc Depr	Year of Construction	Date Acquired	Depreciable Life
				Land	Building and Improvements	Building and Improvements	Land	Bldg/FF&E/Other	Total					
Roanoke Rapids	North Carolina	Hilton Garden Inn	7,346	1,740	3,870	393	1,744	4,259	6,003	(529)	2008	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Hillsboro	Oregon	Courtyard	24,321	3,240	11,280	914	3,260	12,174	15,434	(1,811)	1996	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Hillsboro	Oregon	Residence Inn	26,389	3,790	16,540	3,724	3,805	20,249	24,054	(2,511)	1994	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Hillsboro	Oregon	TownePlace Suites	20,113	3,200	11,070	2,409	3,232	13,447	16,679	(1,763)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Portland	Oregon	Residence Inn	50,995	8,430	59,480	4,603	8,446	64,067	72,513	(6,632)	2001	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Pittsburgh	Pennsylvania	Residence Inn	17,402	3,550	19,730	4,465	3,559	24,186	27,745	(2,661)	1998	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Myrtle Beach	South Carolina	Courtyard	9,058	1,240	9,570	2,777	1,300	12,287	13,587	(1,876)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Nashville	Tennessee	Homewood Suites	15,263	1,010	10,670	934	1,044	11,570	12,614	(1,515)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Dallas	Texas	SpringHill Suites	15,619	1,200	14,660	1,116	1,206	15,770	16,976	(1,831)	1997	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Fort Worth	Texas	Homewood Suites	10,627	1,250	12,180	6,238	1,257	18,411	19,668	(646)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Fort Worth	Texas	Residence Inn	16,592	3,850	16,740	2,505	3,850	19,245	23,095	(2,163)	2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Fort Worth	Texas	SpringHill Suites	10,199	1,780	13,820	637	1,795	14,442	16,237	(1,738)	2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Laredo	Texas	Homewood Suites	10,270	1,030	10,200	1,829	1,030	12,029	13,059	(1,551)	2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Laredo	Texas	Residence Inn	10,912	670	9,170	2,213	670	11,383	12,053	(1,752)	2005	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
McAllen	Texas	Hilton Garden Inn	8,273	1,510	7,490	1,868	1,518	9,350	10,868	(684)	2000	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Kent	Washington	TownePlace Suites	14,906	2,180	13,140	2,083	2,218	15,185	17,403	(1,820)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Mukilteo	Washington	TownePlace Suites	13,052	3,020	11,920	1,786	3,042	13,684	16,726	(1,680)	1999	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Redmond	Washington	Marriott	50,068	19,260	46,340	5,369	19,266	51,703	70,969	(5,357)	2004	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
Renton	Washington	Hilton Garden Inn	16,832	2,010	19,190	2,840	2,035	22,005	24,040	(2,318)	1998	May-13	3 -39 yrs.	
			<u>\$757,245</u>	<u>\$138,340</u>	<u>\$ 708,570</u>	<u>\$ 104,431</u>	<u>\$139,453</u>	<u>\$ 811,888</u>	<u>\$951,341</u>	<u>(\$93,423)</u>				

- (1) Represents acquisition date fair value.
- (2) The gross cost basis for Federal Income Tax purposes approximates the basis used in this schedule.
- (3) Reconciliation of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation.

SCHEDULE III
Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
As of December 31, 2016
(in thousands)

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Investment in real estate:			
Beginning balance	\$1,060,555	\$1,018,604	\$ 975,373
Improvements	37,548	56,452	43,231
Hotels held for sale	(49,426)	-	-
Disposals and impairments	(97,336)	(14,501)	-
Ending balance	<u>\$ 951,341</u>	<u>\$1,060,555</u>	<u>\$1,018,604</u>
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Accumulated depreciation:			
Beginning balance	\$ 73,915	\$ 43,771	\$ 16,359
Depreciation expense	38,507	33,775	27,412
Hotels held for sale	(6,330)	-	-
Disposals and impairment	(12,669)	(3,631)	-
Ending balance	<u>\$ 93,423</u>	<u>\$ 73,915</u>	<u>\$ 43,771</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BRE SELECT HOTELS CORP

By: /s/ WILLIAM J. STEIN Date: March 27, 2017
William J. Stein
Chief Executive Officer and
Senior Managing Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ WILLIAM J. STEIN Date: March 27, 2017
William J. Stein
Director, Chief Executive Officer and Senior Managing Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ BRIAN KIM Date: March 27, 2017
Brian Kim
Director, Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Managing
Director
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

By: /s/ A.J. AGARWAL Date: March 27, 2017
A.J. Agarwal
Director

By: /s/ TYLER HENRITZE Date: March 27, 2017
Tyler Henritze
Director

Table of Contents

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description of Documents</u>
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 29, 2012, among Apple REIT Six, Inc., a Virginia corporation, BRE Select Hotels Holdings LP, a Delaware limited partnership, and BRE Select Hotels Corp, a Delaware corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Buyer (incorporated by reference from Annex A of BRE Select Hotel Corp’s prospectus filed in accordance with Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on April 2, 2013 (File No. 333-186090)).
2.2	Plan of Merger merging Apple REIT Six, Inc., a Virginia corporation, with and into BRE Select Hotels Corp, a Delaware Corporation (incorporated by reference from Annex B of BRE Select Hotel Corp’s prospectus filed in accordance with Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on April 2, 2013 (File No. 333-186090)).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of BRE Select Hotels Corp (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 of BRE Select Hotels Corp’s Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2013 (File No. 333-186090)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of BRE Select Hotels Corp (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 of BRE Select Hotels Corp’s Form 8-K filed on May 20, 2013 (File No. 333-186090)).
4.1	Form of Certificate of Designations of 7% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock of BRE Select Hotels Corp (incorporated by reference from Annex E of BRE Select Hotel Corp’s prospectus filed in accordance with Rule 424(b) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, on April 2, 2013 (File No. 333-186090)).
10.1	Loan Agreement dated as of December 3, 2014 by and among BRE Select Hotels Properties LLC, BRE Select Hotels Tuscaloosa LLC, BRE Select Hotels Redmond LLC, BRE Select Hotels AZ LLC, BRE Select Hotels TX L.P., BRE Select Hotels NC L.P., and BRE Select Hotels Clearwater LLC, collectively, as Borrower, and BRE Select Hotels Operating LLC, as Operating Lessee, and , Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., German American Capital Corporation, Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, collectively, as Lender (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 of BRE Select Hotels Corp’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2015 (File No. 333-186090)).
10.2	Form of Fee and Leasehold Mortgage, Assignment of Leases and Rents and Security Agreement dated as of December 3, 2014 by Borrower and Operating Lessee, collectively, as Mortgagor, to Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., German American Capital Corporation, Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, collectively, as Mortgagee (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 of BRE Select Hotels Corp’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2015 (File No. 333-186090)).
10.3	Guaranty Agreement executed as of December 3, 2014 by BSHH LLC in favor of Citigroup Global Markets Realty Corp., German American Capital Corporation, Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, collectively, as Lender (incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of BRE Select Hotels Corp’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 20, 2015 (File No. 333-186090)).
12.1	Statement Regarding Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.*
21.1	Subsidiaries of the registrant.*
31.1	Certification of the Company’s Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
31.2	Certification of the Company’s Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

[Table of Contents](#)

32.1	Certification of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
99.1	Section 13(r) Disclosure.*
101	The following materials from BRE Select Hotels Corp's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Stockholder's Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) related notes to these financial statements, tagged as blocks of text and in detail.*

* Filed herewith

** Furnished herewith

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations or warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of the affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Share Dividends

	Successor				Predecessor	
	2016	2015	2014	2013	Period from January 1, through May 13, 2013	2012
Pretax income (loss) from continuing operations	\$18,392	\$39,720	\$30,505	\$ 2,152	(\$ 50,461)	\$49,975
Fixed charges	32,144	30,704	43,078	24,531	1,439	2,806
Adjusted earnings	<u>\$50,536</u>	<u>\$70,424</u>	<u>\$73,583</u>	<u>\$26,683</u>	<u>(\$ 49,022)</u>	<u>\$52,781</u>
Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends:						
Interest expense (including amortization of deferred financing fees)	32,144	30,704	43,078	24,531	1,439	2,806
Preferred share dividends	9,641	9,641	12,797	9,369	-	-
Total fixed charges and preferred dividends	<u>\$41,785</u>	<u>\$40,345</u>	<u>\$55,875</u>	<u>\$33,900</u>	<u>\$ 1,439</u>	<u>\$ 2,806</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges and preferred dividends	1.2	1.7	1.3	0.8(a)	(34.1)(b)	18.8

- (a) For the 2013 Successor period, the deficiency was \$6.5 million.
(b) For the 2013 Predecessor period, the deficiency was \$50.5 million.

Subsidiaries of BRE Select Hotels Corp

Name	Jurisdiction of Incorporation / Organization
Apple Six Hospitality Management, Inc.	Virginia
BRE Select Hotels Clearwater LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Fort Worth GP LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Fort Worth L.P.	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Fort Worth Operating LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Holdco LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Hotels AZ LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Hotels Redmond LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Mezz 1A LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Mezz 1B LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Mezz 2A LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Mezz 2B LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels NC GP LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels NC L.P.	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Operating LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels PA Holdings LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels PA LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Properties LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Properties II LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Properties II Sub LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Southeast LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels Texas General LLC	Virginia
BRE Select Hotels Texas Limited LLC	Virginia
BRE Select Hotels Texas Partners L.P.	Virginia
BRE Select Hotels Tuscaloosa LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels TX GP LLC	Delaware
BRE Select Hotels TX L.P.	Delaware
Fort Worth RI GP LLC	Delaware
Fort Worth RII.P.	Delaware
Sunbelt-CAG, L.L.C.	Alabama
Sunbelt-FCF, L.L.C.	Florida
Sunbelt-RCG, L.L.C.	Alabama

CERTIFICATION

I, William J. Stein, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 of BRE Select Hotels Corp;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 27, 2017

/s/ WILLIAM J. STEIN

William J. Stein
Chief Executive Officer and Senior
Managing Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Brian Kim, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 of BRE Select Hotels Corp;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 27, 2017

/s/ BRIAN KIM

Brian Kim
Chief Financial Officer, Vice President
and Managing Director
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of BRE Select Hotels Corp (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), the undersigned hereby certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 that: (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: March 27, 2017

/s/ WILLIAM J. STEIN

William J. Stein
Chief Executive Officer and Senior Managing Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 27, 2017

/s/ BRIAN KIM

Brian Kim
Chief Financial Officer, Vice President
and Managing Director
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

Section 13(r) Disclosure

The disclosures reproduced below were included in periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission by Travelport Worldwide Limited (“Travelport”) for its fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016 and by NCR Corporation (“NCR”) for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, in each case, in accordance with Section 13(r) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. During all or a portion of fiscal 2016, each of Travelport and NCR may have been considered an affiliate of The Blackstone Group L.P. (“Blackstone”) and, therefore, during such time, an affiliate of BRE Select Corp (“BRE Select”). BRE Select has not independently verified or participated in the preparation of any of these disclosures.

Travelport included the following disclosure in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016:

“Trade Sanctions Disclosure

The following activities are disclosed as required by Section 13(r)(1)(D)(iii) of the Exchange Act.

As part of our global business in the travel industry, we provide certain passenger travel related Travel Commerce Platform and Technology Services to Iran Air. We also provide certain Technology Services to Iran Air Tours. All of these services are either exempt from applicable sanctions prohibitions pursuant to a statutory exemption permitting transactions ordinarily incident to travel or, to the extent not otherwise exempt, specifically licensed by the U.S. Office of Foreign Assets Control. Subject to any changes in the exempt/licensed status of such activities, we intend to continue these business activities, which are directly related to and promote the arrangement of travel for individuals.

The gross revenue and net profit attributable to these activities in the quarter ended March 31, 2016 were approximately \$156,000 and \$109,000, respectively.”

NCR included the following disclosure in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016:

“Disclosure Pursuant to Section 13(r)(1)(D)(ii) of the Securities Exchange Act. Pursuant to Section 13(r)(1)(D)(ii) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, we note that, during the period from January 1, 2016 through April 30, 2016, we continued to maintain a bank account and guarantees at the Commercial Bank of Syria (“CBS”), which was designated as a Specially Designated National pursuant to Executive Order 13382 (“EO 13382”) on August 10, 2011. This bank account and the guarantees at CBS were maintained in the normal course of business prior to the listing of CBS pursuant to EO 13382. We note that the last known account balance was approximately \$3,468 at April 30, 2016. The bank account did not generate interest from January 1, 2016 through April 30, 2016, and the guarantees did not generate any revenue or profits for the Company. Pursuant to a license granted to the Company by OFAC on January 3, 2013, and subsequent licenses granted on April 29, 2013, July 12, 2013, February 28, 2014, November 12, 2014, and October 24, 2015, the Company had been engaged in winding down its past operations in Syria. The Company’s last such license expired on April 30, 2016. In addition, the Company’s application to renew its license to transact business with CBS, which was submitted to OFAC on May 18, 2015, was not acted upon prior to the expiration of the Company’s last such license. As a result, and in connection with the license expiration, the Company abandoned its remaining property in Syria, which, including the CBS account, was commercially insignificant, and ended the employment of its final two employees in Syria, who had remained employed by the Company to assist with the execution of the Company’s wind-down activities pursuant to authority granted by the OFAC licenses. The Company does not intend to engage in any further business activities with CBS.”

